Safety and Efficacy of s-MOX Regimen in Patients with Colorectal Cancer Who Developed Cardiotoxicity Following Fluoropyrimidine Administration: A Case Series
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Abstract

Background: Fluoropyrimidines compose the backbone of regimens to treat many common solid tumors, including gastrointestinal (GI), breast and head/neck. As we continue to use these agents routinely, recognition of rare but real toxicities, such as cardiotoxicity, has also improved. The treatment options for patients who have encountered fluoropyrimidine-induced cardiotoxicity are limited as many anti-angiogenic drugs also pose a cardiac risk.

Patients and Methods: We present a case series of three patients who developed cardiotoxicity in the form of anginal-like symptoms, EKG changes and elevated cardiac enzymes on infusional 5-FU or capecitabine and were subsequently treated with the s-MOX (simplified-mitomycin-oxaliplatin) regimen for their metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). All three patients were tested for polymorphic abnormality of DYPD and TYMS.

Results: All three patients were treated with s-MOX consisting of mitomycin-C 7 mg/m² on day 1 and oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² on days 1 and 15 (1 cycle = 28 days) after they encountered cardiotoxicity to 5-FU and/or capecitabine. None of these patients developed any cardiotoxicity on s-MOX. Overall, the MOX regimen was well tolerated. The most common toxicities included < 2 grade peripheral neuropathy, nausea, vomiting, thrombocytopenia, and anemia. Grade ≥ 3 toxicities included neutropenia (10%), thrombocytopenia (33%), vomiting (8%), and peripheral neuropathy (30%). DYPD gene was normal in all patients and TYMS was abnormal (2R/2R) in one patient.

Conclusion: This is the first case series that reports the safety and feasibility of s-MOX in patients with mCRC who developed cardiac toxicity to 5-FU or capecitabine. The s-MOX regimen may provide an alternative treatment option for patients who either develop fluoropyrimidine-related cardiotoxicity or who have abnormalities in the DYPD gene.

Keywords: MOX Regimen, Fluoropyrimidines, Cardiotoxicity, Dihydropyridine Dehydrogenase

Introduction

5-fluorouracil (5-FU), an antimetabolite in the fluoropyrimidine class, is the third most commonly used chemotherapeutic agent worldwide for the treatment of solid malignancies [1]. Despite advances in novel cancer therapies, commonly used in combination with fluoropyrimidines, 5-FU remains one of the most effective and safe chemotherapy agents to manage colorectal cancer (CRC) [2]. The most common toxicities of 5-FU include mucositis, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and neutropenia [3]. The syndrome of cardiotoxicity has also been recognized in association with fluoropyrimidines, which are now reported as the second most common chemotherapeutic

Drug associated with cardiotoxicity after anthracyclines, with an incidence ranging from 1.5% to 18% [4]. Clinical manifestations of cardiotoxicity due to fluoropyrimidines may include angina, acute coronary syndrome including myocardial infarction, arrhythmias, heart failure and even sudden death [4]. Fluoropyrimidine-induced cardiotoxicity is known to vary with the method and schedule of administration, and dose of drug. While the mechanism by which these drugs provoke cardiac toxicity is largely unknown, several theories have been postulated; these include coronary vasospasm, increased endothelial thrombogenicity, direct toxic effects on endothelial cells, autoimmune reactions and variations in drug metabolism [5-7]. Abnormalities in the enzyme dihydropyridine dehydrogenase (DYPD) have also been associated with severe cardiotoxicity in patients taking fluoropyrimidines [5,6]. Anecdotal reports have also found this toxicity in patients with thymidylate synthase (TYMS) genetic polymorphisms [8].

The treatment options for patients who have encountered fluoropyrimidine-induced cardiotoxicity may include switching to a different fluoropyrimidine, switching to a different schedule of intravenous 5-FU or switching to a non-fluoropyrimidine-containing chemotherapy regimen if one exists [1,9]. The former two options still carry an unacceptably high rate of cardiotoxicity. Non-fluoropyrimidine options consist of irinotecan alone or in combination with cetuximab, cetuximab alone, panitumumab alone, regorafenib or immunotherapy for patients with MSI-high colorectal cancer [10-15]. Unfortunately, these alternatives are not without their own toxicities. Similar to the fluoropyrimidines, drugs such as anti-VEGF inhibitors (i.e., bevacizumab, aflibercept, regorafenib) have also been reported to cause cardiotoxicity in patients being treated for colorectal cancer [16]. The one exception in the literature appears to be raltitrexed, a non-fluoropyrimidine thymidylate synthase inhibitor, which was found to be safe in patients who had previously experienced cardiotoxicity on fluoropyrimidines [17-18].

Mitomycin-C represents another potential option for patients with mCRC who are intolerant of fluoropyrimidines. Mitomycin-C is a natural antibiotic isolated from Streptomyces caesiporus or Streptomyces lavendulae that displays antitumor activity [19]. Although it is not commonly used for CRC, mitomycin-C does offer modest activity as shown in previous studies [20-23]. Similarly, oxaliplatin has been shown to have activity against mCRC refractory to 5-FU, both as a single-agent and in combination with fluoropyrimidines [24].

In order to treat patients who either developed cardiac toxicity to fluoropyrimidines, were found to have DYPD deficiency, or were intolerant to fluoropyrimidines, we developed a simplified regimen combining mitomycin-C with non-fluoropyrimidine agents, such as oxaliplatin, and named it s-MOX. In this paper, we describe a case series of three patients who were treated successfully and safely with s-MOX consisting of mitomycin-C 7 mg/m² on day 1 and oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² on days 1 + 15 (1 cycle = 28 days) after they encountered cardiotoxicity to 5-FU and/or capecitabine.

Patients and Methods

Pharmacy records were used to indicate patients who were treated with the s-MOX regimen for mCRC from July 2012 – March 2019. Review of electronic charts was performed. When a cardiac event was identified in a patient on a fluoropyrimidine, the paper chart was searched for details as outlined below. Every effort was made to review laboratory tests, including EKG, MUGA scan and reports of stress test if performed. Two patients were identified from our clinic, while one patient included in this study was referred to us from China after relocating to Boston for a second opinion. Cardiotoxicity was defined as angina-like symptoms including chest pain, shortness of breath, palpitations, abnormal cardiac enzyme results, ischemic changes or arrhythmia on EKG, abnormal stress test or cardiac catheterization.

The data including age, sex, diagnoses, prior treatments, dose of fluoropyrimidine, predisposing risk factors, presenting symptoms, laboratory results including cardiac enzymes, EKGs, echocardiograms, stress tests and cardiac catheterizations were collected. Risk factors for ischemic heart disease were smoking, diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and family history of ischemic heart disease. Information on medical therapy for cardiac symptoms was also obtained. For patients rechallenged with fluoropyrimidines, presenting symptoms after this treatment were recorded as well.

Two patients were tested for polymorphic abnormality of DYPD with TheraGuide 5-FU™ (Myriad Genetic Laboratories, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT, USA) pharmacogenetic test and the third patient was tested at Dr. Diasio’s laboratory with RT-PCR as previously published [25]. In addition, we also collected specimens to analyze polymorphisms associated with TYMS as described previously [8].

Results

A total of 13 patients received the s-MOX regimen in the palliative setting for mCRC due to failure and/or intolerance of previous regimens. We identified that three of these 13 patients had encountered fluoropyrimidine-associated cardiotoxicity. The demographic characteristics of these patients, as well as the treatment regimens and cardiac-related symptoms, are presented in Table 1.
Table 1: Description of three patients who developed cardiotoxicity associated with 5-FU and later treated with s-MOX.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Age / Sex / Race</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Dose of 5-FU / Capecitabine</th>
<th>Previous Therapy</th>
<th>Risk Factors</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>ECG</th>
<th>Cardiac Markers</th>
<th>Diagnostic Tests</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Rechallenge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55/F/C</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>5-FU 2400 mg/m2 over 46 hr. (FOLFOX-6)</td>
<td>IFL</td>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>Substernal chest pain + dyspnea + Palpitations</td>
<td>Supraventricular tachycardia</td>
<td>CK 210 MB 3.4, Troponin 0.19ng/ml</td>
<td>Adenosine stress test: LVEF 60% with normal wall motion and normal perfusion</td>
<td>Metoprolol 12.5 mg twice daily</td>
<td>ASA 81mg once daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>39/M/C</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>5-FU 2400 mg/m2 over 46 hr. (FOLFIRI)</td>
<td>FLOX</td>
<td>Remote Smoking</td>
<td>Substernal chest pain + Nausea + Fatigue</td>
<td>Sinus tachycardia, hyper acute T waves in anterolateral leads</td>
<td>CK 56, MB 0.9, Troponin 0.09ng/ml</td>
<td>MIBI scan / sestamibi scan is using Technetium (99mTc) showed normal perfusion and LVEF 68%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes, with recurrent substernal chest pain on capecitabine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>64/M/A</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Capecitabine 850 mg/m2 PO BID (XELOX)</td>
<td>S-1</td>
<td>High cholesterol</td>
<td>Angina-like chest pain + Sweating (x 2 episodes)</td>
<td>NSR, T wave inversion leads III and VI</td>
<td>CK 300, MB 2.7, Troponin 0.25ng/ml</td>
<td>Moderate CAD with 40-50% disease of LCA, EF 55%, LVH</td>
<td>Toprol XL 25mg once daily</td>
<td>Atorvastatin 10mg once daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: Not Available; LCA: Left Coronary Artery; LVA: Left Ventricular Hypertrophy; HTN: Hypertension; CK: Creatine Kinase; LAD: Left Anterior Descending Artery; NSR: Normal Sinus Rhythm; LVEF: Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; RCA: Right Common Artery
All three patients had received some form of chemotherapy prior to the presenting study. First-line treatment in these patients were: patient 1 had received IFL regimen containing 5-FU/leucovorin and irinotecan, patient 2 received FLOX regimen containing 5-FU/leucovorin and oxaliplatin, and patient 3 received S-1 regimen containing florafur, gimeracil and oteracil (patient 3 was referred to us from China). Second-line treatment in these patients upon progression included: patient 1 was treated with FOLFOX-6 containing 5-FU 2400 mg/m²/46h along with oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² and leucovorin 400 mg/m², patient 2 was treated with FOLFIRI containing 5-FU 2400 mg/m²/46h along with irinotecan 150mg /m² and oxaliplatin 400 mg/m², while patient 3 received capecitabine 850 mg/m² with oxaliplatin 100 mg/m² on day 1 every 3 weeks.

Symptoms in all three patients included angina-like chest pain as well as some combination of dyspnea, palpitations, nausea and diaphoresis. EKG revealed supraventricular tachycardia in patient 1, sinus tachycardia with hyperacute T waves in the anterolateral leads in patient 2 and normal sinus rhythm with T wave inversion in leads III and VI in patient 3. All patients had troponin elevations (range 0.09 ng/ml – 0.25 ng/ml). All three patients underwent additional diagnostic tests. Patient 1 had an adenosine stress test showing LVEF of 68% and normal wall motion and perfusion. Patient 2 had a MIBI/sectamibi scan using technetium (99mTc) which showed LVEF of 68% and normal perfusion. Patient 3 underwent cardiac catheterization revealing moderate coronary artery disease with 40-50% disease of the left coronary, and an echocardiogram showing LVEF of 55% and left ventricular hypertrophy. Patients 1 and 3 were placed on medical therapy for their cardiac symptoms, while patient 2 was not. Patient 1 received metoprolol 12.5 mg bid, oral aspirin 81 mg once daily and sublingual nitroglycerin as needed for chest pain. Patient 3 was started on Toprol XL 25 mg once daily, atorvastatin 10 mg once daily and oral aspirin 81 mg once daily. DYPD gene testing was normal in all three patients. TYMS was abnormal (2R/2R) in patient 2. Of the three patients, only patients 1 and 2 were rechallenged with capecitabine, resulting in recurrent substernal chest pain.

Three patients treated with the s-MOX regimen were found to have mutations in KRAS, making them ineligible for cetuximab or panitumumab. TAS-102 was not approved during the treatment time of these patients [26,27].

Overall, the s-MOX regimen was well tolerated. Twelve percent of patients required dose reductions and eight percent experienced treatment delays. The most common toxicities included ≤ grade 2 peripheral neuropathy, nausea, vomiting, thrombocytopenia, and anemia. Grade ≥ 3 toxicities included neutropenia (10%), thrombocytopenia (33%), vomiting (8%), and peripheral neuropathy (30%).

The best overall response was stable disease in these patients, with a median duration of response of 4 months (range: 3-6.5). Median time to treatment failure (TTF) was 5.6 months (range: 4-7).

**Discussion**

This is the first case series that reports the safety and feasibility of s-MOX in patients with mCRC who developed cardiotoxicity following fluoropyrimidine administration: A Case Series. Arch Med Case Rep. 2020; 2(1): 23-29.

Mitomycin-C is often considered an antiquated drug, not commonly used due to its associated delayed bone marrow toxicity and potential to cause hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), lung fibrosis and renal damage [28,29]. Nonetheless, various studies have found success using this drug in the treatment of tumors of the gastrointestinal tract (anal, upper gastrointestinal), breast, lung and bladder [20]. In the setting of salvage treatment for mCRC, data does not support the use of single agent mitomycin; however, combinations such as MIXE (mitomycin with capecitabine), MIRI and MOX have shown modest activity [21-23]. While cardiotoxicity is not very commonly associated with mitomycin-C, several studies have reported a relationship. One prospective study of 44 patients treated with mitomycin-C reported that one patient developed cardiac failure as measured by a drop-in ejection fraction after 30 mg/m² of the drug and only 150 mg/m² of doxorubicin. Based on the literature and this study, these authors posited that cardiotoxicity is dose-dependent, occurring at doses of 30 mg/m² or more, mainly in patients receiving either past or concurrent anthracyclines [30]. Another study of 91 patients with advanced breast cancer reported that 15.3% of patients receiving mitomycin-C developed symptoms of congestive heart failure, compared to only 3.4% of patients from a similar cohort who did not receive the drug [31]. However, cardiotoxicity has not been reported in patients receiving lower doses than reported by these two studies. We selected a 7 mg/m² dose for mitomycin due to our previous experience with the MIXE regimen [20].
Interestingly, all three patients had been treated with fluoropyrimidine-containing regimens prior to developing cardiotoxicity during the present study. While two patients had received only bolus 5-FU previously, one had received S-1. S-1 contains tegafur (FF) and two types of enzyme inhibitors, gimeracil/5-chloro-2,4-dihydroxypyrimidine (CDHP), a potent inhibitor of DPD, and potassium oxonate (Oxo), which inhibits phosphorylation of intestinal 5-FU in a molar ratio of 1:0.4:1 [32]. S-1 is approved in Japan, China, Taiwan, Korea, Singapore, and several European countries but is not available in the USA. In the published phase II or III studies of S-1, no grade III or IV cardiovascular events were reported [5]. We believe that this patient did not develop cardiac toxicity on S-1 due to the fact that gimeracil is a highly active reversible DPD inhibitor; DPD inhibition by gimeracil results in significantly reduced levels of cardiotoxic catabolites of 5-FU, hence resulting in less cardiotoxicity. This is further supported by clinical experience with the use of S-1 in CRC patients with previous 5-FU- or capetibamine-induced cardiotoxicity [33,34].

Nevertheless, even alternatives are limited by potential toxicities, including irinotecan, regorafenib and pembrolizumab [12-18]. Previous studies have shown that rechallenging a patient with previous 5-FU cardiotoxicity with either a lower dose or a different mode of administration could result in repeat cardiac complication or even death [35]. Recurrence rates may be as high as 90%, and one systematic review of the published literature reported a 13% fatality rate [5,36]. Prophylactic measures such as administering nitrates or calcium channel blockers have not been found to be uniformly effective [5]. Thus, rather than rechallenge such patients, using a non-fluoropyrimidine-containing regimen may be an alternative option.

All three of our patients tested negative for abnormalities in the DYPD gene. However, we have previously published cases of patients with DPD deficiencies who developed severe toxicities after receiving fluoropyrimidine-based therapies [5,37,38]. As a response to this issue, the European Society for Medical Oncology changed their guidelines earlier this year to reflect the potential for severe toxicities from fluoropyrimidines in such patients. The society now recommends testing all patients who will be receiving 5-FU, and the related medications capecitabine and tegafur, for DPD deficiency prior to starting treatment [39]. As such, it is now increasingly important to find alternatives to fluoropyrimidine-containing regimens for patients who will be unable to tolerate these drugs. Hence, this study offers another option for these patients.

This case series is limited by the small sample size of patients. As cardiotoxicity is a relatively uncommon side effect of fluoropyrimidines, few patients at our clinic met criteria for this retrospective analysis.

In summary, while fluoropyrimidines have served as the backbone of treatment for mCRC, it has become evident that alternative options are needed. Cardiotoxicity and related side effects can cause life-threatening issues in select patients. We have shown that the s-MOX regimen offers a favorable toxicity profile with an acceptable therapeutic index in patients with mCRC who develop fluoropyrimidine-related cardiotoxicity or who are found to have DPD deficiencies.
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