Immunological Features with DNA Microsatellite Alterations in Patients with Colorectal Cancer
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Abstract

Competent human DNA mismatch repair (MMR) corrects DNA polymerase mistakes made during cell replication to maintain complete DNA fidelity in daughter cells; faulty DNA MMR occurs in the setting of inflammation and neoplasia, creating base substitutions (e.g. point mutations) and frameshift mutations at DNA microsatellite sequences in progeny cells. Frameshift mutations at DNA microsatellite sequences are a detected biomarker termed microsatellite instability (MSI) for human disease, as this marker can prognosticate and determine therapeutic approaches for patients with cancer. There are two types of MSI: MSI-High (MSI-H), defined by frameshifts at mono- and di-nucleotide microsatellite sequences, and elevated microsatellite alterations at selected tetranucleotide repeats or EMAST, defined by frameshifts in di- and tetranucleotide microsatellite sequences but not mononucleotide sequences. Patients with colorectal cancers (CRCs) manifesting MSI-H demonstrate improved survival over patients without an MSI-H tumor, driven by the generation of immunogenic neoantigens caused by novel truncated proteins from genes whose sequences contain coding microsatellites; these patients’ tumors contain hundreds of somatic mutations, and show responsiveness to treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Patients with CRCs manifesting EMAST demonstrate poor survival over patients without an EMAST tumor, and may be driven by a more dominant defect in double strand break repair attributed to the MMR protein MSH3 over its frameshift correcting function; these patients’ tumors often have a component of inflammation (and are also termed inflammation-associated microsatellite alterations) and show less somatic mutations and lack coding mononucleotide frameshift mutations that seem to generate the neoantigens seen in the majority of MSI-H tumors. Overall, both types of MSI are biomarkers that can prognosticate patients with CRC, can be tested for simultaneously in marker panels, and informs the approach to specific therapy including immunotherapy for their cancers.
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Introduction

DNA microsatellites are tandemly repeating short (1-6 base pairs) DNA motifs, such as mononucleotide (A)n, dinucleotide (CA)n, trinucleotide (CAG)n, and tetranucleotide (AAAG)n, with each motif potentially repeating up to 50 times. These short sequence DNA repeats are distributed ~100,000 times throughout the genome and account for approximately 3% of the human genome [1,2]. The vast majority of microsatellite sequences are found in non-coding DNA, with a small proportion of mono-, di-, and tri-nucleotide repeats occurring within exons that code for proteins. Mononucleotide repeats are the most frequent with [A/T]n repeats 300 times more common than [G/C]n repeats [3]. Among dinucleotide repeats, [GT/CA]n and [AT/TA]n classes are the most...
abundant whereas [GC/GG] is the least abundant class; in case of tetrancleotides, [AAAG/TTTC], [AAT/TATA], and [AAAC/TTTG] are the most abundant classes [3]. Trinucleotide repeats are about three times less abundant than di- and tetrancleotides. Because of their repeat reiteration, mutation rates at microsatellites (often quoted as $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-3}$ nucleotides per locus per generation) is several orders of magnitude higher than that of other parts of genome, leading to their variability in length [4]. The hyper-variability in length coupled with a high degree of heterozygosity, presence of multiple alleles and rapid detection protocols made DNA microsatellites ideal polymorphic markers for genome mapping, population genetics, genetic linkage studies, forensics, and cancer diagnostics [4,5].

Although highly polymorphic between individuals, microsatellites remain stable in length and exhibit the same profile within all the cells of an individual, due to effective surveillance and correction of post-replication errors by an efficient DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system before mitosis [6,7]. When the MMR system loses its proficiency, hundreds to thousands of point mutations and microsatellite frameshifts (also known as microsatellite instability, or MSI) cause a hypermutated genotype due to an increase in the spontaneous mutation rate, ideal conditions for rapid neoplastic transformation and progression [8,9]. There are four human conditions which exemplify this genotype: (1) patients with Lynch syndrome, an inherited condition in which one of the MMR genes is mutated in the germline leading to development of colorectal cancer (CRC) and endometrial cancer at a young age and accounts for about 3% of all CRC cases; (2) patients with Constitutional Mismatch Repair Deficiency (CMMRD), an inherited condition in which two mutated MMR genes are found in the germline leading to extremely young ages of onset for cancer and accounts for <0.1% of all CRC cases; (3) patients with Lynch-like syndrome in which there is no inherited MMR defect but CRCs contain two somatic MMR mutations and accounts for ~1% of all CRCs; and (4) patients with sporadic MSI-H CRCs due to somatic hypermethylation of the DNA MMR gene MLH1 and accounts for ~15% of all CRC cases [9-13]. In all of these 4 conditions, CRCs demonstrate MSI-High (MSI-H), defined by the biochemical presence of mono- and/or di-nucleotide frameshifts, with at least 2 of 5 markers demonstrating instability [9-13].

There is a fifth MMR-defective condition that does not result in MSI-H and appears not to generate hypermutated CRCs. Elevated microsatellite alterations at selected tetranucleotide repeats or EMAST is defined by frameshifts in di- and tetranucleotide microsatellite sequences but not mononucleotide sequences [14,15]. EMAST is observed in 50% of CRCs, making it the most common MMR defect observed in humans. EMAST is generated by the presence of inflammation (and is also called inflammation-associated microsatellite alterations) as a somatic, non-mutation mechanism to inactivate MMR [14-19].

In this review, we highlight the role of DNA microsatellites and MMR deficiency in contributing to the cancer immunological landscape and subsequent patient cancer care, with a focus on CRC patients.

**DNA Mismatch Repair and Mutational Behavior of Microsatellite Sequences**

Slippage of DNA polymerase during DNA replication is believed to be major cause of microsatellite variation and therefore the slipped strand mispairing model is often used to describe the mutational process at DNA microsatellites [4,20]. During DNA replication, DNA polymerase pauses at repetitive sequences and transiently dissociates from the template strand. Subsequent incorrect reannealing of template and newly-synthesized strands generate unpaired nucleotide loops on either the newly-synthesized strand or the template strands, which results in addition (if loop formed on newly-synthesized strand) or deletion (if loop formed on template strand) of one or more of the repeat units of the microsatellite during the next round of DNA replication if left unrepaired (Figure 1A). MMR contributes to the fidelity of DNA synthesis by recognizing and correcting mispaired nucleotides and insertion/deletion loops (IDLs) during the S phase of DNA replication before mitosis to prevent intermediate mutations (heteroduplexes) from becoming permanent in dividing cells [7,14,21].

The highly-conserved heterodimeric protein complexes MutSα, MutSβ, and MutLα are the key players in MMR-associated genome maintenance[7,9,14]. MutSα and MutSβ play a critical role in mispair recognition in preparation for repair. MutSα (MSH2-MSH6 heterodimer) preferentially recognizes mismatched nucleotides and slippage errors at microsatellite loci with mono- and di-nucleotide repeats (generating insertion-deletion loops or IDLs of 1 to 2 bp), whereas MutSβ (MSH2-MSH3 heterodimer) recognizes slippage errors at microsatellite loci with di-, tri- and tetra-nucleotide repeats (IDLs of >2 bp) (Figure 2). Although, both complexes overlap in recognizing IDLs of 2 bp, MutSβ is more efficient in recognizing errors in dinucleotide repeats [22]. After recognition of the mismatch by MutSα or MutSβ, MutLα (MLH1-PMS2 heterodimer) is recruited to coordinate the excision of the mismatch, followed by DNA re-synthesis and re-ligation of the DNA strand. There are other MutL complexes including MutLβ (MLH1-PMS1 heterodimer) and MutLγ (MLH1-MLH3 heterodimer), but their role on post-replication DNA repair is not clear [7-9].
Loss of any component of MMR will cause frameshift mutations at microsatellites that will manifest as MSI (Figure 2). MSI models of cancer cells and mice show that loss of MLH1 or MSH2, the principle proteins in MMR complexes, completely abrogates MMR activity and results in genome-wide MSI at mono-, di-, tri- and tetranucleotides [7,8,15]. MSH2, the common heterodimer partner in both MutSα and MutSβ, is required for MSH6 and MSH3 protein stability and function within the nucleus, and likewise, loss of MLH1 results in degradation of PMS2 and therefore abrogates MutL activity [23]. In accordance with their essential functions, MSH2 and MLH1 are the most abundant proteins in the MMR system, and substantially more MutS proteins are present in comparison with the MutL proteins [23]. MSH2 primarily forms a heterodimer with MSH6 and therefore MutSβ is more abundant in mammalian cell extracts compared to MutSβ. Imbalance in the relative amounts of the MSH3 and MSH6 proteins can result in MMR deficiency due to degradation of the partnerless protein [24]. MSH6 and MSH3 are considered partially redundant proteins and thus loss of function in either of these proteins generates a weaker mutator phenotype than that which occurs with loss of MLH1 or MSH2. For example, Loss of MSH6 affects only MutSα and generates mononucleotide and to a lesser extent dinucleotide MSI. Loss of MSH3 affects MutSβ function causing di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide MSI but not mononucleotide frameshifts (Figure 2) [25-28]. The partial redundancy between MutSα and MutSβ functions coupled with a compensatory increase in MSH3 expression and stability when MSH6 is absent indicates that most of the errors at dinucleotide repeats are repaired by MSH3 [23]. This genotype and function translate into phenotype: Msh3−/− or Msh6−/− mice develop tumors at a later age than Mlh1−/− or Msh2−/− mice [29] as do similar Lynch syndrome patients [10,12,26]. Combined Msh3−/− and Msh6−/− mice (double knockout) display a tumor disposition phenotype and high degree of microsatellite instability that are indistinguishable from those of Msh2−/− or Mlh1−/− mice, indicating that MSH3 cooperates with MSH6 in tumor suppression [29].

**Figure 1:** DNA replication slippages at microsatellites generates intermediate mutations that become permanent mutations in progeny cells if not repaired by MMR, leading to MSI (A); Frameshifts in coding microsatellites inactivates gene expression and generates neo-antigenic peptides (B).
Mutation rates at microsatellites appear to be a complex phenomenon which is influenced by both polymerase slippage rate and MMR efficiency. It has been suggested that intrinsic factors specific to microsatellites, such as repeat number (length), motif size (mono-, di-, tri- tetranucleotide), base composition of repeat motif, and complexity of microsatellite locus (pure repeats are less stable than mixed repeats) influence the primary slippage rate of microsatellites [2,4,30]. In addition, DNA sequences flanking the microsatellites can also modify polymerase slippage rate [2,30].

Both TGFBR2 (exon 3, A10) and ACVR2 (exon 10, A8) have coding polyadenine (mononucleotide) repeats. We previously measured the mutation rates of TGFBR2 and ACVR2 coding microsatellites in colon cancer cell lines with different MMR deficiencies (MLH1+/−, MSH6+/−, MSH3+/−, and MMR-proficient) using constructs that can measure frameshift mutation to place in-frame with EGFP [31]. We observed only 1-repeat deletion (i.e. A1) in the mutant population of both genes with MMR deficiency, and mutation rates (mutations/cell/generation) for TGFBR2 and ACVR2 were dependent upon the human MMR background. MLH1 deficiency revealed eleven (5.91 x 10⁻⁴) and fifteen (2.18 x 10⁻⁴) times higher mutation rates for the TGFBR2 and ACVR2 microsatellites compared to MSH6 deficiency, respectively [31]. Importantly, no frameshift mutations were detected in MSH3-deficient and MMR proficient cells for both genes. Our data revealed that the coding microsatellite in TGFBR2 was more susceptible to mutation than ACVR2 in both MLH1- and MSH6-deficient cells. Moreover, ACVR2 contains two identical microsatellites in exon 3 and 10, but only the exon 10 microsatellite frameshifts in CRCs. To understand why the ACVR2 (A)8 microsatellite frameshifts in exon 10 but not in exon 3, we swapped a 6 bp DNA sequence flanking the 5’ and 3’ ends of the exon 3 and 10 microsatellites, and observed mutational behavior in MLH1-deficient cells [32]. The mutation rate of the exon 10 (A)8 tract was reduced 6-fold when its flanking sequence was swapped with exon 3, while substitution of flanking nucleotides from exon 10 to exon 3 enhanced frameshift mutation [32]. These results indicated that mutation selectivity for ACVR2 lie partly with flanking nucleotides surrounding each microsatellite. We also investigated the effect of microsatellite length upon frameshift mutation in gene-specific sequence context by varying the length of the (A)n repeats in TGFBR2 and ACVR2 [33]. Longer microsatellites showed significantly higher mutation rates within both genes; however, the rates of increase were different, suggesting that in addition to microsatellite length, the surrounding sequence context of microsatellite likely regulates frameshift mutation rate.

Figure 2. Human DNA MMR protein complexes, their preferences for different types of replication frameshift errors and resulting MSI phenotype in the absence of indicated MMR protein.
Finally, although our system was designed to detect only one-repeat (mononucleotide) deletion, the mutational spectra of the frameshifted Δ₆ microsatellite within each gene showed more than one repeat deletion (33% were two-repeat deletions in TGFBR2) and 1-2 repeat insertions (8-10%), indicating that longer microsatellites are more mutable and continuously go through insertion/deletion mutations [33].

We utilized similar experiments to examine the mutational behavior of tetranucleotide sequences, which is the principle focus of EMAST [34]. Because we had observed the possibility of expansion as well as contraction at tetranucleotide sequences [28], which is different than the predominantly observed contraction at mononucleotide sequences [32], we created dual plasmid vectors containing various lengths of tetranucleotides repeats [(AAAG)₁₈, (AAAG)₂₅, (AAAG)₃₈] surrounded by DsSad₂ flanking sequences (to maintain the same native mutability of all tested microsatellites), and microsatellites were inserted in EGFP reporter gene at +1 bp and -1 bp out-of-frame positions [34]. Here, deletion and insertion of one or more AAAG repeat units due to slippage mutations would restore the reading frame of EGFP. We drew the following conclusions from our results: (1) tetranucleotide microsatellite mutation frequency increases with the length of the microsatellite, as observed with mononucleotide microsatellites [33], (2) tetranucleotide microsatellite mutation rates are linear as a function of microsatellite length within the same locus, (3) deletion mutation rates for longer tetranucleotide microsatellites are higher than insertion rates but equivalent between deletions and insertions for shorter tetranucleotide microsatellites, and (4) there is an accumulation of excessive deletion mutation events compared with insertion events by more than a 3-to-1 ratio over time for tetranucleotide microsatellites [34]. Our results suggest that insertions do occur, but often are converted into deletions through continual reversions that contract the number of tetranucleotide microsatellite units to give a broad diverse mutational spectrum in the absence of MMR. Although most of the alterations consisted of one or two repeats, repeat size were frameshifted to that between ≤ 10 to ≥ 20 for (AAAG)₁₈ tracts within the accumulated mutations. The shorter the tetranucleotide microsatellite length, the more likely that a deletion was only 1-repeat unit. Overall, 78.9% of observed frameshifts were 1 AAAG repeat unit, 16.1% were 2 repeat units, and 5.1% were 3 or more repeat units [34]. A distinct mutational bias for deletions over time indicates that there is a preference for competent MMR to correct unpaired loops on the template DNA strand over unpaired loops on the newly-synthesized strand during DNA replication. This observation is highly important for development of combined MSI-H and EMAST marker panels, as tetranucleotide frameshifts can expand, then contract to its native length before shortening, and may appear not to have frameshifted in the absence of MMR function.

**Generation of Neoantigens and Immune Response in MSI-H Colorectal Cancers**

MSI-H tumors contain multiple mutations at coding and noncoding microsatellite sequences with variable frequencies leading to different functional consequences. There are multiple genes in human genome harboring short (7 to 10 repeat long) mononucleotide microsatellites in their coding regions and are mutational targets with absence of MMR activity [6,30]. Proteins encoded by these genes display tumor suppressive functions (regulators of growth, apoptosis, DNA repair) and deletion mutation in those mononucleotide tracks leads to a translational frameshift reading frame with subsequent loss of protein function due to truncation of the protein [35]. This novel protein becomes neo-antigenic to patient’s immune system (Figure 1B) [36,37]. The genes encoding for transforming growth factor-β receptor type II (TGFBR2), insulin-like growth factor II receptor (IGF2R), Bcl-2 associated X protein (BAX) and activin receptor type-2A (ACVR2) are among the most frequently mutated genes in MSI-H tumors [35,38,39]. Furthermore, several of the MMR genes (MSH6, MSH3, MLH3, PMS2, and MSH2) contain mononucleotide repeats in their coding region and thus can cause progressive and complete loss of all residual MMR activity once a major MMR gene, such as MLH1 and MSH2, is inactivated, and are believed to further accelerate genomic instability [35,40]. This increased rate of accumulation of mutations in genes responsible for regulating cell growth is the likely cause for rapid growth of adenomas and progression to carcinoma seen in Lynch syndrome and sporadic MSI-H CRCs [9,10]. Noncoding microsatellites, located in promoters, UTRs, and introns, can play important role in regulation of gene expression by affecting transcription rate, RNA stability, splicing, and RNA-protein interactions [41,42]. Deletion mutations of intronic mononucleotide repeats in ATM and MRE11 genes were found to result in protein-truncating aberrant splicing variants in MSI-H CRC tumors [43-45]. Mutations in the coding mononucleotide repeat of MBD4 can affect the approach to treatment of patients with MSI-H CRCs [46,47]. It’s likely that future research will identify more noncoding target sites in MSI-H CRCs.

The truncated neoantigens from the frameshifted coding regions are recognized by the immune system. This has been demonstrated by utilizing tumor-infiltrating T cells from MSI-H tumors and show that they recognize the frameshifted peptides [36,37]. T cells from the peripheral blood of sporadic MSI-H cancer patients and Lynch syndrome patients demonstrated response to the frameshifted peptides. Surprisingly, peripheral T cells from Lynch syndrome patients who did not have any developed
The immune response to the frameshifted peptides is one that is protective to the patient, restraining tumor biological behavior (Figure 3). MSI-H CRCs show subepithelial lymphoid nodules, often referred to as a “Crohn’s like reaction” due to the similarity to granuloma formation in Crohn’s disease. There are increased tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes as compared to microsatellite stable (MSS) CRCs [9,16-18]. Patients with MSI- CRCs (both sporadic and Lynch) tend to present at an earlier TNM stage than their MSS counterparts, and likewise show longer survival [6,8,9,48]. Compared to patients with MSS CRCs, patients with MSI-H CRCs demonstrate increased activation of memory T cells, indicating a response to the generation of frameshifted peptides as neoantigens [49]. As MSI-H CRCs are hypermutated due to the lack of DNA MMR, tumors also acquire programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) receptor and/or PD-1 ligand, making them more susceptible to immune checkpoint blockade (Figure 3) [49,50].

Most data for frameshifted peptide generation in the setting of MSI-H CRCs are generated by genes with coding mononucleotide microsatellites [9,36,37]. Thus, Lynch syndrome patients with MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2 germline mutations, CMMRD patients, Lynch-like syndrome patients, and sporadic MSI-H CRC patients due to hypermethylation of MLH1 will generate frameshifted peptides. Patients with EMAST tumors (in which the sole defect is from MSH3 inactivation) would not be able to generate these mononucleotide frameshifted peptides, and lack the immune protection they afford after triggering the immune system.

**EMAST Colorectal Cancers are a Consequence of Inflammation**

Unlike MSI-H CRCs in which the DNA MMR defect occurs first to subsequently generate frameshifted peptides and elicits an immune response, EMAST CRCs are the result from the presence of innate inflammation. Inflammation and oxidative stress cause a reversible shift of MSH3 from the nucleus, where it is normally heterodimerized with MSH2 to effectuate DNA repair, to the cytosol where it no longer can repair nuclear DNA [28,51]. The pro-inflammatory cytokine that translocates MSH3 out of the nucleus is interleukin-6 (IL-6), which utilizes its JAK/STAT3 intracellular pathway to relocate the protein [51]. MSH3 contains two cooperating nuclear export signals that transactivate IL-6’s effects, and has a single functional nuclear localization signal for eventual return to the nucleus once IL-6 has abated [52]. Thus, EMAST results from a somatic, non-mutational mechanism to inactivated DNA MMR. As a result of sole MSH3 loss of function, accumulation of di- and tetranucleotide frameshifts can proceed in the cell’s genome. Because inflammation initiates EMAST, this phenomenon can also be termed inflammation-associated microsatellite alterations (IAMA) and is observed in 50% of CRCs [19].

With the exclusion of other MMR defects, EMAST/IAMA CRCs are non-hypermutated; the combination of lack of a major MMR defect coupled with very few somatic...
mutations make these CRCs less likely to express PD-1/PD-L1. Indeed, patients with EMAST CRCs show shorter survival due to possessing a more advanced tumor stage and more metastases as compared to patients with non-EMAST CRCs [14,48]. There is also a higher frequency of EMAST rectal cancers in African Americans compared to Caucasians, and a concomitant lesser frequency of MSI-H CRCs among African Americans [16,53]. In addition to the lack of immune response without the generation of mononucleotide coding frameshifts as seen in MSI-H CRCs, the absence of MSH3 function causes a second repair defect in double strand break (DSB) repair [14,54,55]. MSH3 (and the MMR complex MutSβ) participates in homologous recombination; in the absence of MSH3, accumulation of DSBs and aneuploidy ensue, tumor conditions that are associated with poor survival [8,9,56].

It should be noted that since EMAST/IAMA is initiated by inflammation, it can be observed in non-neoplastic tissue, possibly priming the tissue for future neoplastic transformation. EMAST is associated with benign hamartomatous polyps, and can be identified in colonic adenomas, and is seen in ulcerative colitis with progression of the frequency of EMAST through dysplasia and colitis-associated CRC [17,18,57,58]. The common factor with these benign tissues and CRCs is the presence of inflammation driven by the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6.

**Use of DNA Microsatellites for Molecular testing and Classification of MSI in tumors**

Molecular testing for MSI is performed using PCR amplification of DNA regions containing microsatellite repeats, comparing lengths from normal and tumor tissue. Electrophoretic patterns of PCR products (detected as a mobility shift on electrophoretic gels) are then evaluated to identify insertions or deletions of repetitive units from the tumor sample as compared to normal. A standard panel of microsatellite markers was codified at a National Cancer Institute workshop in 1998, consisting of two mononucleotide repeat markers (BAT25 and BAT26) and three dinucleotide repeat markers (D2S123, D5S346, D7S250) [59]. MSI-H is defined as frameshift or instability in two or more of the five markers (or >30% of markers if more than five markers tested), and MSI-Low (MSI-L) is defined as an instability in one (or <30 of markers tested). Tumors are considered MSS when none of the markers tested show instability. Later, a revised Bethesda panel includes an additional three mononucleotide markers (NR-21, NR-24, NR-27) to increase the screening sensitivity of tumors for MSI-H [60].

Detection of EMAST requires the use of tetranucleotide microsatellite markers that are not currently present in MSI-H panels. At present, there is no official consensus regarding the definition of EMAST, although most publications have used the definition of 1 or 2 frameshifted markers as positive from a panel that includes at least 5 or more various tetranucleotide markers (e.g. MYCL1, D9S242, D20S85, D8S231, D20S82, D19S394, RBM47, L17835, D2S443, D2aI1436, D9S747, UTS037, L17686, UT5320, D11S488) [14,61].

Current microsatellite panels are designed to accurately identify MSI-H CRCs. MSI-L tumors typically show frameshifts at only one of three dinucleotide markers in the panel, and their morphological and clinical phenotype is similar to MSS tumors. Current evidence indicates that MSI-L classifies as EMAST, as both are caused by isolated loss of MSH3 function [14,27,28,48]. Combining these two subgroups as MSI-L/EMAST acknowledges that fact, and represents a group of patients demonstrating poor prognosis from CRC [48,56,62]. Accurate identification of the CRC type helps dictate the approach to treatment as well as prognosis for patients.

**Immunotherapeutic Approach for Patients with CRC based on Microsatellite Alterations**

Current MSI testing can identify patients who possess MSI-H CRCs and MSS CRCs. Identification of patients with EMAST CRCs, a subset of MSS CRCs, requires the use of tetranucleotide microsatellite markers, which have not yet made it to commercialized MSI panels. The designation of MSI-H and EMAST has treatment implications for those who require additional therapy beyond surgery.

Conventional chemotherapy regimens for advanced CRC treatment is based on the use of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), typically with added leucovorin, irinotecan, and/or oxaliplatin [63,64]. Patients with MSI-H CRCs are resistant to 5-FU because the MMR system, principally MutSβ but also MutSβ, are needed to recognize 5-FU that gets incorporated into DNA (in addition to its effects on RNA) [65-67]. Lack of 5-FU recognition within DNA by MMR fails to trigger cell death, making the cancer cell resistant to 5-FU [65-67]. Most sporadic MSI-H CRCs as well as most Lynch and Lynch-like associated CRCs, due to inactivation of MLH1 or MSH2, are more resistant to 5-FU therapy [68,69]. In one study, MSI-H CRC patients treated with 5-FU did much worse with survival compared to untreated patients, possibly due to the inability to kill the MSI-H CRC cells while simultaneously killing the protective adaptive immune cells (which remain sensitive due to intact MMR) [69]. However, patients with EMAST/IAMA CRCs, which lack MutSβ function but retain MutSβ and MutLβ function, remain sensitive to 5-FU (Table 1) [70].
Immune checkpoint inhibitors such as anti-PD-1 are drugs that can remove a cancer cell’s self-preservation protection by blocking proteins that shield it from immune destruction. MSI-H tumors have at least 2 mechanisms that have shown them ideal to be responsive to immune checkpoint blockade. The first is by the nature of MSI-H tumors – its defect in MMR – make the tumor hypermutated, acquiring and coalescing hundreds to thousands of somatic mutations from the failed fidelity of DNA replication. Coding mononucleotide frameshifts generate neoantigenic peptides, inducing an adaptive immune response [9,36,37]. The second is the acquisition of immune checkpoint expression (e.g. PD-1) on the MSI-H cancer cell’s surface. Over 60% of CRCs with a high immune score (high levels of T cells at the invasive margin and center of the tumor) of which the majority are MSI-H, show PD-1 expression [49]. Clinical trials using anti-PD-1 demonstrated that patients with MSI-H tumors had significant biomarker and radiographic responses to the immune checkpoint therapy, resulting in 78% progression-free survival (PFS) vs 11% for patients with MSS tumors [50]. This and other data led the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to approve anti-PD-1 therapy for all tumors manifesting MSI-H, the first time ever that an oncological drug was approved for tumors across all body sites and solely based on the defect in DNA MMR [71,72]. Thus, even though MSI-H CRCs appear more resistant to 5-FU, patients with these tumors have an overall improved survival compared to patients with MSS CRCs, and they can further gain oncological improvement with the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (Table 1). Patients with EMAST/IAMA CRCs, whose tumors are non-hypermutated, would be expected to have less response to immune checkpoint inhibition.

#### Conclusion

MSI, the biochemical detection of frameshifted DNA microsatellite sequences, has become a powerful tool that can prognosticate CRC patients and can inform therapeutic approaches for their care. Presently, clinical MSI testing only assays frameshift mutations within mononucleotide and dinucleotide microsatellites which make up commercial panels, and can identify patients with MSI-H CRCs. MSI-H CRC patients show improved prognosis over MSS CRC patients as a result of the generation of neoantigenic peptides generated from frameshifted coding mononucleotide, providing an adaptive immune response that restrains the advancement of the tumor. This phenomenon, provided by a defect in MMR function that causes hundreds to thousands of somatic mutations, also enhances expression of PD-1/PD-L1, affording the opportunity to further improve patient outcome through the use of immune checkpoint inhibition. Thus, testing for MSI is critical in each and every CRC patient for these purposes.

Testing for EMAST/IAMA is not yet commercialized, but likely will be in the future. MSI panels would have to add tetranucleotide microsatellite markers to simultaneously distinguish MSI-H, MSS, and EMAST tumors. Patients with EMAST/IAMA CRCs show poor prognosis compared to their non-EMAST counterparts, remain sensitive to 5-FU therapy, and appear less sensitive to immune checkpoint inhibition likely because of lack of somatic hypermutation within the tumor genome. Since non-MSI-H CRC patients still receive 5-FU-based chemotherapy and are less eligible for immune checkpoint therapy as compared to MSI-H CRC patients, the clinical push to know a patient’s EMAST status is not as critical as knowing the MSI-H status; however, the EMAST status does provide prognostic information for the patient. Perhaps more importantly, EMAST is present in non-neoplastic inflammatory conditions that might project information on the ability for neoplastic transformation.
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