Biomarkers of Pembrolizumab Efficacy in First-Line Advanced PD-L1 ≥ 50% Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Treatment
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Abstract

Background: In non-small-cell lung cancers (NSCLC) with programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression on ≥ 50% of tumor cells, first-line treatment with the PD-1 inhibitor pembrolizumab improves survival compared with platinum-doublet chemotherapy. The expression level of PD-L1 is the most accurate and well-analyzed predictive biomarker of benefit from pembrolizumab, but not all patients respond. It is therefore necessary to identify new biomarkers that select the patients who benefit from this type of treatment.

Patients and methods: In this single center retrospective study, we analyzed the impact of PD-L1 expression levels, Lung Immune Prognostic Index (LIPI), Derived neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (dNLR) and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio (PLR) on the clinical outcomes in patients who received commercial pembrolizumab as first-line treatment of NSCLC with a PD-L1 expression of ≥ 50% and negative for genomic alterations in the EGFR, ROS1 and ALK genes.

Results: Among 17 patients included in this analysis, the ORR was 41.2% [95% CI 29.3%-53.1%], the mPFS was 12 months (95% CI 6.98–17.01), and the mOS was 18 months (95%CI, 7.58-28.41). Patients with dNLR ≥ 3 showed significantly shorter mean PFS of 6.5 months as compared to those with dNLR <3 of 20.61 months (HR 0.40, 95% CI 0.18-0.88; p=0.022) and shorter mean OS of 8.96 months versus 27.60 months (HR 0.26, 95% CI 0.09-0.77; p=0.015). Patients with poor LIPI showed also significantly shorter mean PFS of 2.25 months as compared to those with good-intermediate LIPI of 15.71 months (HR 0.07, 95% CI 0.01-0.73; p=0.026) and shorter mean OS of 3.25 months vs 21.87 months (HR 0.13, 95% CI 0.02-0.83; p=0.03).

Conclusions: Among patients with NSCLC and PD-L1 expression of ≥ 50% treated with first-line pembrolizumab, clinical outcomes are significantly improved in NSCLCs with a dNLR <3 and good-intermediate LIPI. These findings are similar to other published studies.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death worldwide. In 2020, a total of 19 million cancer patients were diagnosed, of which 11.4% were lung cancer, causing 18% of all cancer deaths [1]. In 2020 in Spain, 29,638 cases were estimated [2].

Before the introduction of immunotherapy (IT), platinum-based chemotherapy has been the standard treatment for these patients, although with modest responses and with relatively short intervals until disease progression [3-5].

The emergence of programmed death pathway-1 (PD-1) inhibitors in the first-line treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has revolutionized patient management. Programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) is to date the prognostic and predictive biomarker with the greatest potential for the selection of NSCLC patients treated with IT.
Based on the results of the KEYNOTE-001 study, in which patients with NSCLC with PD-L1 immunohistochemical expression ≥ 50% treated with pembrolizumab (PD-1 inhibitor) had better results compared to those with lower levels of PD-L1 [6], the KEYNOTE-024 study was performed, a randomized phase III trial evaluating the efficacy of pembrolizumab compared to double platinum chemotherapy for first-line advanced NSCLC with a PD-L1 expression level of ≥ 50% [7]. In the pembrolizumab arm of this study, the overall response rate (ORR) was 44.8%, median progression-free survival (PFS) was 10.3 months, and median OS (mOS) was 30.0 months, all higher than the control arm [7,8].

The benefit in first line treatment was also demonstrated in the population with NSCLC with PD-L1 ≥ 1% in the KEYNOTE-042 [9] study with pembrolizumab and in the IMPOWER 110 study with atezolizumab [10].

EMPOWER-Lung 1 is a Phase 3 study which compared first-line cemiplimab monotherapy with investigator’s choice chemotherapy. In the intention to treat (ITT) and prespecified PD-L1 ≥ 50% populations cemiplimab showed superior mOS (hazard ratio (HR), 0.57; 95% CI: 0.42–0.77; P=0.0002) and mPFS (HR, 0.54; 95% CI: 0.43–0.68; P<0.0001) versus chemotherapy, despite high crossover rate, providing rationale for cemiplimab as a new treatment option for this patient population [11].

Currently, double platinum plus pembrolizumab chemotherapy is one of the standard options for advanced NSCLC in the first-line setting, regardless of PD-L1 expression levels according to the KEYNOTE-189 and KEYNOTE-407 studies [12,13]. However, questions remain as to whether pembrolizumab monotherapy or pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy should be used in patients with NSCLC and a PD-L1 level ≥ 50%.

Current meta-analysis assessed the efficacy of first-line anti-PD-(L)1 monotherapy compared to platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC with high PD-L1 expression (> 50%) [14]. 2,111 patients were included. In direct comparisons, ITT showed a significant improvement in PFS (pooled HR=0.69, 95% CI 0.52 to 0.90, p=0.007), OS (pooled HR=0.69, 95%: 0.61 to 0.78; p<0.001) and ORR (combined hazard ratio (RR)=1.354, 95% CI: 1.04–1.762, p=0.024).

PD-L1 expression is a reliable biomarker for estimating the possible benefits of PD-1/L1 therapies [15]. Tumor PD-L1 predicts ORR, PFS and OS. The main problem is that not all PD-L1 positive patients will benefit from IT. There should be a focus on identifying patients who may be good candidates for this type of treatment through biomarkers, and on effectively controlling adverse reactions [16]. Neutrophils, platelets, macrophages and regulatory T cells, are commonly associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis [17,18]. Frequently used parameters like Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) found in regular clinical practice, demonstrated strong prognostic markers associated with worse OS in multiple tumor types including NSCLC in the pre-immunotherapy era [19,20]. Limited studies suggested that high NLR and PLR predict poor response to nivolumab and pembrolizumab as a second line treatment [21-24].

The purpose of this retrospective study is to evaluate the predictive and prognostic performance of NLR, PLR, PD-L1 expression levels, Lung immune prognostic index (LIPI) and their dynamics in patients with PD-L1 expression of ≥ 50% NSCLC treated with pembrolizumab as a first line.

Patients and Methods

Study population

We retrospectively analyzed data from patients with NSCLC PD-L1 ≥ 50% treated with at least one dose of pembrolizumab in the first-line setting in the period between November 2017 and February 2021 in the Hospital Universitario de Torrejón (Madrid, Spain). Patients were included if they had given their consent to institutional review board-approved medical record review protocols at each institution and had advanced NSCLC without driver mutations (EGFR, ALK and ROS-1).

Clinical outcomes

The ORR and PFS were determined by radiologists using Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1. PFS was defined as the time from the start of pembrolizumab to the date of disease progression or death. Patients who were alive without disease progression were censored on the date of their last disease assessment. OS was defined as the time from the start of IT to death. Patients who were still alive at the time of data analysis were censored at the date of last contact.

PD-L1 testing assessment

PD-L1 expression was assessed in formalin-fixed tumor samples at a central laboratory with the use of a rabbit anti-human PD-L1 antibody (clone 28–8; Epitomics Inc, Burlingame, CA). Tumor samples were obtained by core-needle or excisional biopsy or from tissue resected at the time the metastatic disease was diagnosed. We used the tumor proportion score (TPS). This score is the number of PD-L1 positive tumor cells, divided by all tumor cells, and then multiplied by 100. Predefined expression levels were defined by TPS ≥ 50%.

dNLR, LIPI and PLR analysis

Complete blood cell counts and LDH levels at baseline before ICI treatment (within 5-7 days before the first treatment) were extracted from electronic medical records. Derived neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (dNLR) was calculated by division of absolute neutrophil and lymphocyte counts measured in peripheral blood before start of pembrolizumab treatment.
treatment. PLR was calculated by division of thrombocytes and lymphocytes accordingly.

The LIPI was developed on the basis of dNLR greater than 3 and LDH greater than ULN (upper limit of normal), characterizing 3 groups (good, 0 factors; intermediate, 1 factor; poor, 2 factors). The LIPI analysis was also performed, dividing it into 2 categories (good-intermediate versus poor). The cutoff for dNLR was greater than 3 (according to the cutoff from the largest published study with immune check points inhibitors (ICIs) in patients with cancer [25]), and the ULN for LDH was defined according the limit of our center (423 UI/L).

Statistical analysis

The software IBPM SPSS statistics version 26 was used for statistical analyses. Frequency tables, chi-squared tests, and two-sided Fisher’s tests were carried out at first place to correlate categorical data. Survival analyses were performed using the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. All P-values are 2-sided and CIs are at the 95% level, with significance pre-defined to be at the 0.05 level. Association between prognostic factors and survival outcomes were modelled using Cox proportional hazards regression. The association between different variables were calculated by logistic regression.

Results

Patients and tumor characteristics

Seventeen patients were included. Patients’ and tumor characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The majority of patients had adenocarcinoma histology (82.4%) and were former (52.9%) or current smokers (41.2%) with only a minority of never smokers (5.9%). 14 patients (82.4%) were Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group-Performance status (ECOG-PS) <2 and 3 patients (17.6%) were 2. Central nervous system (CNS) was the most common metastatic site (29.4%), followed by bone (23.5%). No patient had liver metastases. All patients were eligible for the examination of the tumor PD-L1 expression, of which 9 patients (52.9%) had more than 90% expression. All patients were EGFR, ALK and ROS-1 wild-type. Median dNLR was 2.6 and median PLR was 192. Good LIPI (17.6%), intermediate LIPI (58.8%) and poor LIPI (23.5%) were observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Population (n=17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Median 67 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>76.5% (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23.5% (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Patient and Tumor characteristics.

Histology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-squamous</th>
<th>Squamous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82.4% (14)</td>
<td>17.6% (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IVA</th>
<th>IVB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.6% (3)</td>
<td>82.4% (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD-L1 expression (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>≥90</th>
<th>&gt;60–&lt;90</th>
<th>≥50 ≤ 60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52.9% (9)</td>
<td>17.6% (3)</td>
<td>29.4% (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of metastatic sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>≥3</th>
<th>&lt;3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.5% (4)</td>
<td>76.5% (13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNS metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29.4% (5)</td>
<td>70.6% (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liver metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>100% (17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smoking history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonsmoker</th>
<th>Former smoker</th>
<th>Current smoker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.9% (1)</td>
<td>52.9% (9)</td>
<td>41.2% (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.5% (4)</td>
<td>58.8% (10)</td>
<td>17.6% (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Previous corticosteroids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70.6% (12)</td>
<td>29.4% (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CNS: Central Nervous System

Survival outcomes

Median follow-up was 22 months. At the time of analysis, 9 patients (52.9%) had died and 8 (47.1%) were alive. Median OS was 18 months (95%CI, 7.58-28.41) and median PFS was 12 months (95%CI, 6.98-17.01).

Analysis of OS and PFS revealed significant correlations with the LIPI group (2 categories) and dNLR. Higher dNLR and poor LIPI were associated with shorter OS and PFS. Patients with dNLR ≥ 3 before IT showed significantly shorter mean PFS of 6.5 months as compared to those with dNLR<3 of 20.61 months (HR 0.40, 95% CI 0.18-0.88; p=0.022) and shorter mean OS of 8.96 months versus (vs) 27.60 months (HR 0.26, 95%
CI 0.09-0.77; p=0.015) (Figure 1A/B). Patients with poor LIPI before IT showed also significantly shorter mean PFS of 2.25 months as compared to those with good-intermediate LIPI of 15.71 months (HR 0.07, 95% CI 0.01-0.73; p=0.026) and shorter mean OS of 3.25 months vs 21.87 months (HR 0.13, 95% CI 0.02-0.83; p=0.03) (Figure 1C/D).

No differences were observed in terms of OS and PFS according to PLR and PD-L1 expression group (Table 2). Patients with high baseline PLR ≥ 200 and with baseline corticosteroid treatment had non-statistically significant trend toward worse OS (22.7 vs 11.2 months and 21 vs 8.3 months; p=0.1 and p=0.25).

**Figure 1. Progression-free survival and overall survival of dNLR and LIPI (2 categories).** (A), Patients with NLR ≥ 3 showed significantly shorter mean PFS of 6.5 months as compared to those with dNLR<3 of 20.61 months (HR 0.40, 95% CI 0.18-0.88; p=0.022); (B) Patients with NLR ≥ 3 showed significantly shorter mean OS of 8.96 months versus 27.60 months (HR 0.26, 95% CI 0.09-0.77; p=0.015); (C) Patients with poor LIPI showed also significantly shorter mean PFS of 2.25 months as compared to those with good-intermediate LIPI of 15.71 months (HR 0.07, 95% CI 0.01-0.73; p=0.026); (D) Patients with poor LIPI showed also significantly shorter mean OS of 3,25 months versus 21.87 months (HR 0.13, 95% CI 0.02-0.83; p=0.03). **Abbreviations:** dNLR: derived Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; LIPI: Lung Immune Prognostic Index.
Response

The tumor response was assessed according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (ver.1.1) (RECIST 1.1) and clinical tumor response was assessed every 3 months. At time of data cut-off response assessment to pembrolizumab treatment was available from all patients. ORR was 41.2% [95% CI 29.3%-53.1%], stable disease (SD) 29.4% and disease control rate (DCR = complete response + partial response + SD) 70.6%, whereas 5 patients (29.4%) were primary refractory. Hyperprogression was observed in one patient (5.9%) and pseudoprogession in 2 (11.8%). None of the variables studied (dNLR, PLR, LIPI or PD-L1 expression) were correlated with the ORR.

Discussion

The current study provides the first data on the predictive and prognostic value of dNLR and LIPI in NSCLC PD-L1 ≥ 50% treated with first-line pembrolizumab. dNLR ≥ 3 and LIPI (poor) had significantly shorter OS and PFS.

One of the immune resistance mechanisms described in cancer patients is the inflammation process. It promotes the growth and spread of cancer and activating oncogenic signaling pathways [26]. A peripheral pro-inflammatory status has been associated with worse outcomes in patients with cancer [27-29]. There are numerous routine blood parameters studied as possible inflammatory biomarkers in

Table 2: Univariable analyses of progression free survival and overall survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PFS months</th>
<th>HR PFS; 95% CI; p value</th>
<th>OS months</th>
<th>HR OS; 95% CI; p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD-L1 expression ≥90</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>1.18; 0.57-2.41; p=0.65</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.52; 0.68-3.39; p=0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60-&lt;90</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50 ≤ 60</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-L1 expression 90-100%</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>1.33; 0.35-5.07; p=0.68</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.14; 0.29-4.59; p=0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-89%</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPI (3 categories) Good Intermediate Poor</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.31; 0.08-1.3; p=0.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.23; 0.06-0.94; p=0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPI (2 categories) Good-Intermediate Poor</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0.07; 0.01-0.73; p=0.026</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.13; 0.02-0.83; p=0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR &lt;200</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0.64; 0.38-1.25; p=0.19</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>0.54; 0.26-1.14; p=0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 200</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNLR &lt;3</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.40; 0.18-0.88; p=0.02</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>0.26; 0.1-0.77; p=0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal corticosteroids No</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>0.53; 0.23-1.21; p=0.13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.62; 0.28-1.40; p=0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS metastasis No</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.84; 0.36-1.98; p=0.69</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>0.96; 0.43-2.17; p=0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PFS: Progression Free Survival; OS: Overall Survival; HR: Hazard Ratio; dNLR: Derived Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio; LIPI: Lung Immune Prognostic Index; PLR: Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio; CNS: Central Nervous System
cancer patients; elevated levels of circulating white blood cells, absolute neutrophil count, absolute platelet count, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) level. All associated with poor results in several cancer types [20,29-31].

dNLR can predict a poor response to checkpoint inhibitors and an unfavorable outcome in patients with NSCLC, similar to data published to date [18,32,33].

Zhang et al. conducted a meta-analysis to investigate the prognostic value of NLR and PLR in NSCLC patients who received ICIs. 1845 NSCLC patients from 21 studies were included and three ICIs (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, and atezolizumab) were used [34]. Overall, high NLR was associated with poor OS (HR: 2.50, 95% CI: 1.79–3.51, P<0.001) and PFS (HR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.51–2.01, P<0.001). Subgroup analyses were consistent with the pooled results. Similarly, the pooled results for PLR showed that elevated PLR was related to inferior OS (HR: 1.93, 95% CI: 1.51–2.01, P<0.001) and PFS (HR: 1.57, 95% CI: 1.30–1.90, P<0.001). However, the subgroup analysis based on test time indicated that there was no significant correlation between post-treatment PLR and survival outcomes.

The multicentric retrospective study conducted by Petrova et al. evaluated the predictive and prognostic performance of NLR, and PLR in 119 patients with NSCLC PD-L1 positive treated with pembrolizumab as a second line [24]. Patients with NLR>5 before IT showed significantly shorter mean PFS of 6.86 months (95% CI: 5.81–7.90) as compared to those with NLR ≤ 5 of 18.82 months (95% CI:15.87–21.78; p<0.001). The only independent predictive factor for shorter PFS in the multivariate analysis was NLR>5 (HR: 4.47, 95% CI: 2.20–9.07, p<0.001), and in the OS analysis the independent negative prognostic factors were the presence of bone metastases (HR: 2.08, 95% CI: 1.10–4.94, p=0.030), NLR>5 before chemotherapy (HR: 8.09, 95% CI: 2.35–27.81, p=0.001) and high PLR before chemotherapy (HR: 2.81, 95% CI: 1.13–6.97, p=0.025). Our data suggests that NLR<3 is a potential predictive marker, which may identify patients appropriate for pembrolizumab as a first-line treatment.

In the exploratory analysis of patients with NSCLC treated with cemiplimab, observed that the magnitude of clinical benefit was associated with PD-L1 expression levels. The results for the prespecified PD-L1 ≥ 50% population, showing that OS, PFS and ORR had improved in patients with higher PD-L1 expression levels (three categories ≥ 50 to ≤ 60%, >60 to <90% and ≥ 90%) [11]. According to these findings, PD-L1 expression can be an effective tool in identifying patients who experience the greatest benefit from cemiplimab treatment.

Similar results are obtained by the study carried out by Aguilar et al. [35]. They analyzed in a multicenter retrospective study the impact of PD-L1 expression levels on the ORR, PFS, and OS in patients who received pembrolizumab as first-line treatment of NSCLC with a PD-L1 expression of ≥ 50%. 187 patients were included. The ORR was 44.4% (95% confidence interval (CI) 37.1% to 51.8%), the PFS was 6.5 months (95% CI 4.5–8.5), and the OS was not reached. The expression level of PD-L1 determined the results. Compared with patients with PD-L1 expression of 50%–89% (N=107), patients with an expression level of 90%–100% (N=80) had a significantly higher ORR (60.0% versus 32.7%, P<0.001), a significantly longer median PFS [14.5 versus 4.1 months, HR 0.50 (95% CI 0.33–0.74), P<0.01], and a significantly longer median OS [not reached versus 15.9 months, HR 0.39 (95% CI 0.21–0.70), p=0.002]. The magnitude of PD-L1 expression had no impact on either PFS, OS or ORR in our study. This is probably due to the small number of patients included in the analysis.

466 patients with advanced NSCLC participated in a multicenter retrospective study conducted by Mezquita et al. Case cohort received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors while a control cohort was treated with chemotherapy [36]. They analyzed LIPI (based on dNLR≥3 and LDH>ULN), characterizing 3 groups (good, intermediate and poor). A dNLR≥3, LDH>ULN and LIPI were independently associated with OS and PFS with LIPI. Disease control rate was also correlated with dNLR≥3 and LDH>ULN. Our study provides similar data, with a clear worse prognosis for patients with poor LIPI, both in terms of PFS and OS (p<0.05).

Li et al. investigated the relationship between pretreatment LIPI and the prognosis of patients receiving first-line PD-1/ PD-L1 inhibitors plus chemotherapy in advanced/metastatic small cell lung cancer [37]. One hundred patients were included. 64% were LIPI good, 11% were LIPI poor, and the remaining 25% were LIPI intermediate. The LIPI good group had better PFS (median: 8.4 vs 4.7 months, p=0.02) and OS (median: 23.8 vs 13.3 months, p=0.0006) than the LIPI intermediate/poor group. Multivariate analysis showed that pretreatment LIPI intermediate/poor was an independent risk factor for OS (HR: 2.34; 95%CI, 1.13, 4.86; p=0.02). Subgroup analysis showed that pretreatment LIPI good was associated with better PFS and OS in males, extensive disease (ED), PD-1 inhibitor treatment, smokers, and liver metastasis (p<0.05).

Our data could help to select in the future those patients who benefit the least from treatment with pembrolizumab monotherapy. It serves as the basis for future studies evaluating, for example, the role of chemo-immunotherapy instead of immunotherapy alone in that subset of patients in whom the administration of a single drug is possibly insufficient.

Several limitations were identified in our study. First, it is a single-center, retrospective study with a small sample size, although all consecutive patients with advanced NSCLC with PD-L1 ≥ 50% treated with first-line pembrolizumab during the mentioned period were included. Furthermore, the predictive value of NLR was not compared with other potential predictive markers such as tumor mutational burden or microsatellite instability. The small number of patients and events in our cohort did not allow for a multivariate analysis.
However, the correlation of high dNLR (≥ 3) and LIPI (poor) and worse prognosis was statistically significant and also appears clinically significant.

Conclusions

If the predictive value of dNLR and LIPI were demonstrated in prospective studies, they could become tools that help us select patients with PD-L1 NSCLC ≥ 50% in the first line of treatment, either pembrolizumab in monotherapy or the combination of chemotherapy-IT, with the main objective of improving the prognosis of our patients. These findings are similar to other published studies and could have implications for treatment selection as well as for clinical trial interpretation and design.
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