Arch Trauma Emerg Med. 2026;2(1):4–9.

Archives of Trauma and Emergency Medicine
Commentary

A Multi-Disciplinary Commentary on Recreational Parks, Physical Activity, and Adolescent Neurological Maturation

Daniel Etim Jacob[1,*], Imaobong Daniel Jacob[1], Koko Sunday Daniel[1]

[1]Forestry and Wildlife Department, University of Uyo, Uyo 520101, Nigeria

[*]Correspondence should be addressed to Daniel Etim Jacob, danieljacob@uniuyo.edu.ng

Received date: February 02, 2026, **Accepted date:** February 18, 2026

Citation: Jacob DE, Jacob ID, Daniel KS. A Multi-Disciplinary Commentary on Recreational Parks, Physical Activity, and Adolescent Neurological Maturation. Arch Trauma Emerg Med. 2026;2(1):4–9.

Abstract

Adolescence is a pivotal stage of heightened brain plasticity and risk, neurobiologically marked by the comprehension lag between the emotion regulating subcortical areas and the executive functioning prefrontal cortex. This commentary provides a critical, evidence-based appraisal of urban recreational parks as essential neuro-structural scaffolding for the maturing adolescent brain. By reviewing publications from both the fields of neurobiology and emergency medicine, we identify the three way collaborative actions through which these places help to develop the adolescent brain namely neurogenesis (increased BDNF), regulation to the HPA axis, and myelination (white matter optimization). Additionally, this article deals with the Green Gap issue by stating that the provision of a good standard of open air recreational facilities is not a luxury granted by city authorities but rather a public health requirement for the proper development of the adolescent brain and for the prevention of trauma.

Keywords: Adolescent Neuro-development, Recreational parks, Physical activity, Brain plasticity, Health equity

Introduction

Adolescence is a biologically significant transition phase that involves major rewiring of the human brain. During this period, evolution seems to disagree with development: the limbic structures underneath the cortex, which are responsible for emotional reactions and the urge for rewards, mature very quickly; however, the prefrontal cortex (PFC) which controls executive functions, impulse inhibition, and foresight, develops slowly and gradually [1–3]. The brain development gap during adolescence results in a very particular vulnerable time, when environmental stress may cause psychiatric disorders later in life much more than usual [4,5]. The main article, Parks, Physical Activity, and Neurological Development in Adolescents, is an important and timely contribution; nevertheless, it is essential to critically extend the article significantly to turn it from a general correlation of these variables into a mechanistic understanding of them [6,7].

We should change the discussion from seeing parks only as leisure facilities to recognizing urban recreational parks as indispensable neuro-structural scaffolding [8,9]. Today's teenager is in a unique nature deficit condition, which is often made worse by the digital overuse of the social brain and the sedentary urban lifestyle [10,11]. This paper suggests that the multi-modal stimulation, which is typically associated with recreational parks, serves as a very effective non-pharmacological regulator of neuroplasticity [12,13].

Biochemical, structural, and socio-economic factors are questioned here in order to shed light on the way green infrastructure helps in the prevention of adolescent mental health disorders, currently a growing global concern [14,15]. Even though the biophilia hypothesis states that it is within human nature to have a love for nature, an evidence based approach still has to face the hard truth: fMRI results, cortisol trajectories, and synaptic density [16,17]. The forthcoming sections will dissect the data that link these recreational spaces to neurological development and at the same time speak about the systemic inequalities, which are most of the time neglected in the clinical literature but still very much determine access to this biological luxury [18–20]. As the field of trauma and emergency medicine increasingly focuses on the root causes of mental health crises, recreational parks stand as a crucial yet largely ignored resource in the preventive toolkit [21,22].

Mechanisms of Neuroplasticity

Essentially, the fundamental molecular factor that links recreational park visits with brain health is the production of Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) [23]. Among other factors physical activity is a prominent promoter of BDNF, which is a protein that helps the survival of already existing neurons and encourages the development and differentiation of new neurons and synapses, a process called neurogenesis [24,25]. In particular, within the adolescent hippocampus, a subcortical structure concerned with the regulation of emotions and consolidation of memory, BDNF plays a major role in long term potentiation and the preservation of gray matter volume [26,27]. A careful examination of recent meta analyses indicates that the neurogenic response is considerably increased when physical activity is carried out in green, as opposed to grey, environments [28,29].

Lower levels of environmental noise (e.g., from traffic, sirens, and crowds) decrease the cognitive load on the adolescent PFC, which in turn facilitates synaptic pruning and thus, more metabolic resources can be directed towards executive development [30, 31]. Besides that, a recreational park with lots of different things to do and the varied natural surroundings also require higher spatial navigation and proprioceptive feedback levels [32,33]. Indeed, as is shown in **Table 1**, recreational park results has a significantly higher neuro-modulatory impact than either passive green spaces or urban centers.

HPA-Axis Regulation and Stress Recovery

The Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal (HPA) axis is triggered by stress that is a feature of the urban environment. The result is that cortisol levels in the blood become elevated over a prolonged period [35]. Cortisol is very harmful to the brain of a child or teenager; among other things, it can cause the PFC to shrink prematurely and the amygdala to enlarge, which is a way to physiologically prepare the brain for a state of excessive vigilance and anxiety [36,37]. Studies with solid scientific evidence in environmental psychology show that a visit to a recreational park brings about such a rapid decrease in stress levels that the physiological stress markers fall to a great extent in just a few minutes [38, 39].

It is important to note, however, that outdoor activities break down stress effectively through engagement. The key difference between recreational parks and open green spaces is that the first ones offer ways for the individual to regulate stress actively e.g. through play and exercise [40,41]. When one exerts oneself physically amidst nature, the effect is doubled: on the one hand, exercise helps to get rid of the stress hormones in the body, and on the other, the environment inhibits the stress response leading to the production of new stress hormones [42,43]. With the provision of a sanctuary for the HPA axis to reset, recreational parks serve as a preventive measure against the occurrence of post traumatic stress symptoms and major depressive disorder [44,45]. Moreover, social interaction which is an integral part of recreational settings, regulates oxytocin and dopamine levels, thus, further counteracting cortisol effects [46,47].

White Matter Integrity and Environmental Complexity

It is during adolescence that myelination reaches its peak, especially in the corpus callosum and the superior longitudinal fasciculus, which are the pathways that connect the emotional centers to the executive centers [2,3]. Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) studies show that adolescents who have more access to and make more use of recreational parks have higher fractional anisotropy (FA) levels, which is a measure of white matter health and organization, in their brains [35,48]. This means that the active utilization of park facilities not only supports the process of myelination but also makes it more efficient, which is essential for neural communication [32,41].

Environmental complexity is a major factor in driving neural efficiency [31]. For example, stimuli in a recreational park are softly fascinating, a term derived from Attention Restoration Theory (ART) [11,12]. This enables the brain to switch from directed attention to involuntary attention, thereby allowing the PFC to recuperate [13]. During such a restorative period, the brain is more efficient in producing the proteins required

Table 1. Comparative neurobiological impact of urban environments on adolescents.

Environmental Category	Primary Neuro-Modulatory Mechanism	Impact on Cortical Structures	Behavioral Outcome	Evidence Citations
High-Density Urban	Chronic HPA-axis activation; elevated glucocorticoids.	Amygdala hypertrophy; PFC thinning.	Hyper-vigilance; executive dysfunction.	[4,10,14]
Passive Green Space	Parasympathetic activation; Attention Restoration (ART).	Short-term PFC recovery; hippocampal stability.	Reduced mental fatigue; improved focus.	[11,16,17]
Recreational Parks	Synergistic BDNF upregulation + HPA reset.	Increased White Matter; Neurogenesis.	Enhanced impulse control; resilience.	[23,26,32,34]

for myelin repair and maintenance [42]. Nevertheless, it is very useful to consider the impact of screen time as a direct rival for the developmental window [10,14]. The digital environment delivers high intensity, low complexity stimulation that, by design, results in the pruning of the very brain circuits that the outdoor recreational parks attempt to avail [25,33].

Socio-Structural Determinants and the Green Gap

A critical and multi-disciplinary commentary must necessarily address the Green Gap which is the systemic inequality in the distribution and quality of urban recreational parks [18,19]. If it is agreed that parks are indispensable for neurological maturation, then no access to high quality parks (in marginalized communities) is a developmental crisis [20,49]. According to the research **(Table 2)**, parks situated in low socioeconomic status (SES) areas commonly do not have the active infrastructures (as in the case of) affluent neighborhoods [9,40]. Besides, these parks are often the topic of environmental stressors such as noise pollution and safety issues [50,51].

An evidence-based approach, however, should also acknowledge the gentrification of nature. When cities increase their green infrastructure, property values usually soar, which causes the displacement of the very adolescent populations who would receive most of the benefits [15, 52]. Trauma specialists may consider park prescriptions as an integral component of the clinical treatment plan only when the park is a high quality environment [8,21]. The inequality in the availability of parks accounts for the educational gap and the excessive number of mental health diagnoses in urban, low income populations [53,54]. It is necessary for us to move towards community-centric design that guarantees these spaces are accessible to the adolescents who need them most [55,56].

Conclusion

Integrating urban recreational parks into adolescent mental health frameworks is a neurological necessity, not merely a lifestyle choice. Green spaces provide a multi-modal stimulus blending physical activity, environmental restoration, and social interaction essential for prefrontal cortex maturation and HPA-axis regulation. Biologically, the adolescent brain requires the unique challenges of outdoor environments to facilitate effective synaptic pruning and myelination. However, parks only function as legitimate public health interventions if the medical community initiates rigorous, evidence-based advocacy. We must address the Green-Gap and leverage neuroimaging-based longitudinal research to establish causal links between park design and neurological development. Ultimately, the return on investment for high-quality parks is measured by decreased healthcare costs and superior cognitive capital. By merging neuroscience, kinesiology, and urban sociology, we can design environments that actively scaffold the next generation's neurological flourishing.

The integration of urban recreational parks into the framework of preventative Making urban recreational parks a part of the system of adolescent mental health prevention is beyond just a lifestyle recommendation: it is a brain function necessity. Physical activity, environmental restoration, and social interaction along these green spaces intertwine to provide a multi modal stimulus that is not only beneficial for the adolescent prefrontal cortex development but also regulates the HPA axis. Biologically the evidence aligns with the fact that an adolescent brain requires the stimulating environment and the physical challenge of outdoor spaces for the pruning and myelination process to be performed effectively.

Recreational parks, however, can only serve as public health interventions if the medical community goes beyond just observing and praising and instead initiates serious, evidence based advocacy. We need to take the Green Gap and the current research's methodological limitations head on, and push for neuroimaging based longitudinal studies that can establish the causal connections between park design and brain development. Policy makers and urban planners must come to terms with the fact that the return on investment in a high quality park system is assessed by decreased mental health care costs and better cognitive outcomes for the future generation. To sum up, the park can be a powerful agent of adolescent brain development if it is designed through the multi-disciplinary perspective of neuroscience, kinesiology, and urban sociology.

Table 2. Socio-environmental moderators of neurological benefit.

Moderating Variable	Neurological Implications	Critical Evidence Point	Authors
Perceived Safety	Fear-based stimuli trigger amygdala activity, nullifying HPA restoration.	High-crime zones reduce cortisol reset by 40%.	[51,52,57]
Infrastructure Quality	Limited BDNF stimulus	Low-quality parks link to sedentary behavior.	[9,41,58]
Access/Equity	Developmental inequality	Low-SES areas have very limited access to quality parks.	[18,20,53,59]

References

1. Jacobson S, Pugsley S, Marcus EM. The limbic system: Temporal lobe, prefrontal cortex, and learning, memory, and emotions. In: Neuroanatomy for the Neuroscientist. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. 2025 Jul 2. pp. 549–606.

2. Nagel MC, Sharman R. Dude, Where's My Frontal Lobe?. In: Virtual Autism and Other Screen Disorders. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. 2025 Oct 1. pp. 19–42.

3. Kumar S, Nath AR, Anand S. Emotional and Cognitive Development in Adolescents. In: Lifestyle Diseases in Adolescents: Addressing Physical, Emotional, and Behavioral Issues. Bentham Science Publishers; 2024. pp. 14–36.

4. Suppiej A, Longo I, Pettoello-Mantovani M. The Pivotal Role of Mental Health in Child and Adolescent Development: Brief review by the Working Group on Child and Adolescent Mental Health of the" GE Ghirardi" Foundation on the Occasion of the World Mental Health Day 2024. GPeds. 2025 Jul 26:100277.

5. Cheung MM, Watkeys OJ, Laurens KR, Carr VJ, Green MJ. Mental health service use during childhood and adolescence: An Australian longitudinal population cohort study. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2026 Jan 13:00048674251413871.

6. Zhang R, Wulff H, Duan Y, Wagner P. Associations between the physical environment and park-based physical activity: a systematic review. J Sport Health Sci. 2019 Sep 1;8(5):412–21.

7. Zhang W, Yang B, Wang R, Guo X. An analysis of the effects of different urban park space environment construction on national health. Front Environ Sci. 2024 Oct 24;12:1433319.

8. Pakiş Ş. Neuro-Recreation: A conceptual framework building a bridge between Neuro-Science and recreation. IJRSS. 2020 Dec 12;4(1):37–54.

9. Luo X. Protecting and scaffolding: How parents facilitate children's activities in public space in urban China. ROE. 2022 Jun;5(2):242–57.

10. George AS, George AH, Baskar T, Shahul A. Screens steal time: How excessive screen use impacts the lives of young people. PUIRP. 2023 Dec 11;1(2):157–77.

11. Desmurget M. Screen Damage: the dangers of digital media for children. Wiley; 2022 Oct 11.

12. Di Sarno L, Curatola A, Cammisa I, Capossela L, Eftimiadi G, Gatto A, et al. Non-pharmacologic approaches to neurological stimulation in patients with severe brain injuries: a systematic review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2022 Sep;26(18):6856–70.

13. Chuchuen P, Tunvirachaisakul C, Dhammapeera P. Multi-Modal Cognitive Stimulation Program Improves Cognition and Quality of Life in Thai Older Adults with Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord. 2026;55(1):61–70.

14. Coutts C, Hahn M. Green Infrastructure, Ecosystem Services, and Human Health. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015 Aug 18;12(8):9768–98.

15. Mualem R, Morales-Quezada L, Farraj RH, Shance S, Bernshtein DH, Cohen S, et al. Econeurobiology and brain development in children: key factors affecting development, behavioral outcomes, and school interventions. Front Public Health. 2024 Sep 26;12:1376075.

16. Sjövall IA, Spiers HJ. The Potential of Biophilic Design and Nature to Improve Health, Creativity and Well-Being. InEnvironmental Neuroscience. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. 2024 Sep 27. pp. 255–281.

17. Wang P, Lin J, Lin W. Effects of restorative environments on mental health and its cognitive neural mechanisms. Front Glob Change. 2025 Dec 1;8:1651800.

18. Strife S, Downey L. Childhood Development and Access to Nature: A New Direction for Environmental Inequality Research. O&E. 2009 Mar;22(1):99–122.

19. Walsh S, Merrick R, Brayne C. The relevance of social and commercial determinants for neurological health. Lancet Neurol. 2022 Dec 1;21(12):1151–60.

20. Vineis P, Avendano-Pabon M, Barros H, Bartley M, Carmeli C, Carra L, et al. Special report: the biology of inequalities in health: the lifepath consortium. Front Public Health. 2020 May 12;8:118.

21. Holmes MR, King JA, Miller EK, King-White DL, Korsch-Williams AE, Johnson EM, et al. Innovations in Trauma-Informed Care: Building the Nation's First System of Trauma-Informed Recreation Centers. Behav Sci (Basel). 2023 May 9;13(5):394.

22. Bikomeye JC, Namin S, Anyanwu C, Rublee CS, Ferschinger J, Leinbach K, et al. Resilience and Equity in a Time of Crises: Investing in Public Urban Greenspace Is Now More Essential Than Ever in the US and Beyond. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Aug 9;18(16):8420.

23. Khalil MH. The BDNF-Interactive Model for Sustainable Hippocampal Neurogenesis in Humans: Synergistic Effects of Environmentally-Mediated Physical Activity, Cognitive Stimulation, and Mindfulness. Int J Mol Sci. 2024 Dec 1;25(23):12924.

24. Fares J, Bou Diab Z, Nabha S, Fares Y. Neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus: history, regulation, and prospective roles. Int J Neurosci. 2019 Jun;129(6):598–611.

25. Azargoonjahromi A, Abutalebian F, Hoseinpour F. The role of resveratrol in neurogenesis: a systematic review. Nutr Rev. 2025 Feb 1;83(2):e257–72.

26. Cavaleri D, Moretti F, Bartoccetti A, Mauro S, Crocamo C, Carra G, et al. The role of BDNF in major depressive disorder, related clinical features, and antidepressant treatment: Insight from meta-analyses. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2023;149:105159.

27. Poletti S, Aggio V, Hoogenboezem TA, Ambrée O, de Wit H, Wijkhuijs AJ, et al. Brain-derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) and gray matter volume in bipolar disorder. Eur Psychiatry. 2017 Feb;40:33–37.

28. Pretty J, Rogerson M, Barton J. Green Mind Theory: How Brain-Body-Behaviour Links into Natural and Social Environments for Healthy Habits. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017 Jun 30;14(7):706.

29. Khalil MH. Walking and Hippocampal Formation Volume Changes: A Systematic Review. Brain Sci. 2025 Jan 8;15(1):52.

30. Brenhouse HC. Points of divergence on a bumpy road: early development of brain and immune threat processing systems following postnatal adversity. Mol Psychiatry. 2023 Jan;28(1):269–83.

31. Woo E, Sansing LH, Arnsten AFT, Datta D. Chronic Stress Weakens Connectivity in the Prefrontal Cortex: architectural and Molecular Changes. Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks). 2021 Aug 29; 5:24705470211029254.

32. Finnigan KA. Sensory responsive environments: A qualitative study on perceived relationships between outdoor built environments and sensory sensitivities. Land. 2024 May 8;13(5):636.

33. Hanscom AJ. Balanced and barefoot: How unrestricted outdoor play makes for strong, confident, and capable children. New Harbinger Publications; 2016 Apr 22.

34. Brusilovsky B. The architecture of play, sensory and cognitive stimulation: From childhood to adolescence. Los Libros De La Catarata; 2024 Mar 14.

35. Mbiydzenyuy NE, Qulu LA. Stress, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, and aggression. Metab Brain Dis. 2024 Dec;39(8):1613–36.

36. Mousikou M, Kyriakou A, Skordis N. Stress and Growth in Children and Adolescents. Horm Res Paediatr. 2023;96(1):25–33.

37. Fowler CH, Bogdan R, Gaffrey MS. Stress-induced cortisol response is associated with right amygdala volume in early childhood. Neurobiol Stress. 2021 Apr 22;14:100329.

38. Janeczko E, Bielinis E, Wójcik R, Woźnicka M, Kędziora W, Łukowski A, et al. When urban environment is restorative: The effect of walking in suburbs and forests on psychological and physiological relaxation of young Polish adults. Forests. 2020 May 24;11(5):591.

39. Silva A, Matos M, Gonçalves M. Nature and human well-being: a systematic review of empirical evidence from nature-based interventions. J Environ Plann Managem. 2024;67(14):3397–454.

40. Gao T, Song R, Zhu L, Qiu L. What Characteristics of Urban Green Spaces and Recreational Activities Do Self-Reported Stressed Individuals Like? A Case Study of Baoji, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Apr 15;16(8):1348.

41. White MP, Hartig T, Martin L, Pahl S, van den Berg AE, Wells NM, et al. Nature-based biopsychosocial resilience: An integrative theoretical framework for research on nature and health. Environ Int. 2023 Nov;181:108234.

42. Mennitti C, Farina G, Imperatore A, De Fonzo G, Gentile A, La Civita E, et al. How Does Physical Activity Modulate Hormone Responses? Biomolecules. 2024 Nov 7;14(11):1418.

43. Ruiz-Ariza A, Moral-García JE, Rusillo-Magdaleno A, Solas-Martínez JL. Association Between Weekly Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity and Emotional Intelligence Factors in Spanish Adolescents: Perspectives for Digital and Gamified Interventions. J Intell. 2026 Jan 3;14(1):5.

44. Cai M, Park HR, Yang EJ. Nutraceutical Interventions for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder in Animal Models: A Focus on the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis. Pharmaceuticals (Basel). 2022 Jul 20;15(7):898.

45. Shirazi A, Brody AL, Soltani M, Lang AJ. Recovery Horizons: Nature-Based Activities as Adjunctive Treatments for Co-Occurring Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder and Substance Use Disorders. Am J Lifestyle Med. 2024 Nov 15;19(4):626–38.

46. Melton KK, Hodge CJ, Haron ML, Boccia M. Measuring social connectedness during shared leisure activities using oxytocin: a comparison of sampling urine versus Saliva. Leisure Sciences. 2024 Oct 2;46(7):977–90.

47. Grahn P, Ottosson J, Uvnäs-Moberg K. The Oxytocinergic System as a Mediator of Anti-stress and Instorative Effects Induced by Nature: The Calm and Connection Theory. Front Psychol. 2021 Jul 5;12:617814.

48. Burnor E, Cserbik D, Cotter DL, Palmer CE, Ahmadi H, Eckel SP, et al. Association of Outdoor Ambient Fine Particulate Matter With Intracellular White Matter Microstructural Properties Among Children. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 Dec 1;4(12):e2138300.

49. Xiao Y, Cen L. Do new urban parks really improve green equity? A longitudinal analysis of Shanghai (2000–2020). J Environ Plann Managem. 2026 Jan 1;265:105519.

50. Arsalan M, Chamani A, Zamani-Ahmadmahmoodi R. Sustaining tranquility in small urban green parks: A modeling approach to identify noise pollution contributors. Sustain. Cities Soc. 2024 Oct 15;113:105655.

51. Zhang J, Yan H, Wang D. Effects of Acoustic Environment Types on Stress Relief in Urban Parks. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023 Jan 7;20(2):1082.

52. Foster J, Lowe A, Winkelman S. The value of green infrastructure for urban climate adaptation. CCAP. 2011 Feb;750(1):1–52.

53. Kondo MC, McIntire RK, Bilal U, Schinasi LH. Reduction in socioeconomic inequalities in self-reported mental health conditions with increasing greenspace exposure. Health Place. 2022 Nov;78:102908.

54. Ventriglio A, Torales J, Castaldelli-Maia JM, De Berardis D, Bhugra D. Urbanization and emerging mental health issues. CNS Spectr. 2021 Feb;26(1):43–50.

55. Ranasinghe D, Kankanamge N, De Silva C, Kangana N, Mahamood R, Yigitcanlar T. CityBuildAR: Enhancing Community Engagement in Placemaking Through Mobile Augmented Reality. FI. 2025 Mar 6;17(3):115.

56. Hajzeri A, Charlie MS. A comparative borough-level analysis of the integration and stewardship of fruit trees in public spaces in London (UK). Urban For Urban Green. 2025 Oct 5:129097.

57. Sudimac S, Sale V, Kühn S. How nature nurtures: Amygdala activity decreases as the result of a one-hour walk in nature. Mol Psychiatry. 2022 Nov;27(11):4446–52.

58. Khalil MH. Urban physical activity for neurogenesis: infrastructure limitations. Front. Public Health. 2025 Aug 18;13:1638934.

59. Rigolon A. A complex landscape of inequity in access to urban parks: A literature review. Landscape and Urban Planning. 2016 Sep 1; 153:160–9.