

When Cells Speak in Many Languages: The Evolving Story of Cellular Signaling

Vivek K Pandey^{1,*}

¹Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of Kentucky, USA

*Correspondence should be addressed to Vivek K Pandey, Vivek.Pandey@uky.edu

Received date: November 13, 2025, **Accepted date:** November 17, 2025

Citation: Pandey VK. When Cells Speak in Many Languages: The Evolving Story of Cellular Signaling. J Cell Signal. 2025;6(4):178–180.

Copyright: © 2025 Pandey VK. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

The current issue of the *Journal of Cellular Signaling* brings together a diverse set of studies that collectively broaden our understanding of cellular communication, from canonical biochemical pathways to emergent bioelectric and metabolic signaling systems. This editorial review synthesizes the major findings and conceptual advances presented in [Volume 6 Issue 3](#), highlighting the converging trends that redefine how we view signaling as an integrative, dynamic, and multi-dimensional process. The featured articles span cancer biology, virology, neural differentiation, and cellular homeostasis, each illustrating how signaling mechanisms adapt, evolve, and cross disciplinary boundaries.

Expanding Horizons in Cellular Signaling

Cellular signaling research has entered a transformative phase. Classical ligand-receptor paradigms have evolved into more intricate models that incorporate electrical, metabolic, and mechanical cues as co-regulators of cellular fate and behavior. The latest issue of *Journal of Cellular Signaling* ([Volume 6 Issue 3](#)) exemplifies this evolution, bringing together five distinctive contributions that, when viewed collectively, map an expanded landscape of communication within and between cells. These articles revisit foundational pathways such as the phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) axis, while simultaneously introducing new dimensions such as bioelectric and metabolic signaling. Together, they underscore an emerging realization: cellular signaling is not confined to single cascades but functions as an adaptive network integrating diverse molecular languages.

Revisiting canonical pathways: PI-PLC and the evolutionary logic of cancer

In *'The Contribution of Signaling to Unraveling the Natural History of Cancer: The Lesson of the Phosphoinositide-specific Phospholipase C Pathway'*, Lo Vasco [1] re-examines PI-PLC as more than a biochemical intermediary, it is positioned as

a molecular historian of cancer evolution. The review argues that cancer progression can be viewed through the dynamic modulation of ancient signaling pathways that have been co-opted for maladaptive growth. Lo Vasco's perspective invites us to consider cancer not simply as genetic disorder but as a reconfiguration of evolutionary signaling motifs. The PI-PLC pathway, long associated with calcium mobilization and phosphatidylinositol turnover, emerges here as a model of "evolutionary signaling repurposing," revealing how ancient molecular architectures persist yet adapt within malignant contexts. This synthesis calls for a redefinition of cancer signaling, away from linear causality and toward network plasticity and evolutionary resilience.

Metabolic signaling and the "mitosis addiction" hypothesis

In *'Metabolic Killing of Mitosis-Addicted Cancer Cells by Targeting Aerobic Glycolysis'*, Warenius [2] revisits the Warburg effect through a signaling-centric lens. The hypothesis proposed selectively eradicating mitosis-addicted cancer cells by disrupting glycolytic flux extends the metabolic vulnerability framework into the signaling domain. Here, metabolism is not a passive supplier of energy but an active participant in cell-cycle governance. This convergence between metabolic and

mitotic signaling supports a growing realization: metabolism itself is a signaling event. By framing glycolysis as a node in the mitotic signaling network, Warenius articulates a unifying principle that therapeutic intervention in cancer should target not just growth drivers but the energetic signaling scaffolds that sustain proliferative addiction. Such insights pave the way for hybrid strategies coupling metabolic inhibitors with cell-cycle modulators.

Antiviral therapy meets signaling dynamics: Emtricitabine and HIV-1 infection

Wang, Zhao and Hewlett's original study titled '*The Effects of Emtricitabine Pre-treatment on Inhibition of HIV-1 Infection in Jurkat Cells*' [3], provides a striking example of translational signaling biology. Beyond demonstrating antiviral efficacy, their data underscore how pharmacological interventions reshape cellular signaling environments, potentially altering host-virus interactions at multiple regulatory levels. This work illustrates a vital emerging concept: therapeutic efficacy depends not only on target inhibition but on the pre-existing signaling state of the host cell. As antiretroviral strategies advance, integrating systems-level signaling analyses may enhance predictive models of drug response and resistance. Their findings also hint at broader implications on how viral manipulation of host signaling could inform antiviral design, immune modulation, and therapeutic timing.

Bioelectric signaling and epigenetic regulation in neural stem cells

Tseng's editorial, *A Renewed Interest in Bioelectric Signaling: Unveiling an Epigenetic Layer of Neural Stem Cell Self-renewal and Differentiation* [4], represents one of the most forward-looking discussions in this issue. Bioelectric cues, traditionally the province of excitable cells, are recast here as global regulators of stem cell fate. By linking membrane potential dynamics to chromatin architecture and gene expression, Tseng positions bioelectricity as an epigenetic layer of control in neural development. This paradigm shift, viewing voltage gradients as instructive biological signals, offers profound implications for regenerative medicine and neurodevelopmental biology. It challenges the central dogma of signaling as solely chemical, suggesting that ionic and electrical landscapes orchestrate transcriptional plasticity. The integrative potential of combining electrophysiology with epigenomics could open new frontiers for stem cell engineering.

Signalosomes, scaffolds, and cellular resilience: The CRABP1 paradigm

Article by Nhieu *et al.*, '*CRABP1 Signalosomes in Cellular Stress Response and Health Maintenance*' [5] highlights the emergent importance of signalosomes, multi-protein complexes that

coordinate signaling outcomes beyond classical receptor frameworks. CRABP1, once relegated to retinoic acid transport, is redefined as a signaling hub integrating stress-response pathways to preserve homeostasis. This work exemplifies a broader conceptual shift: the realization that scaffold and binding proteins are not merely structural but serve as regulatory logic gates that determine context-specific signal propagation. Understanding such dynamic assemblies hold promise for delineating how cells achieve resilience under stress and how dysregulation of these systems contributes to chronic diseases and aging.

Cross-Cutting Trends and Emerging Directions

The collective message from these articles is clear: cellular signaling is multidimensional, integrative, and context sensitive. Several cross-cutting trends stand out such as **a)** Re-definition of canonical pathways: Classical signaling cascades (e.g., PI-PLC, glycolysis) are being re-examined through systems and evolutionary frameworks. **b)** Integration across signaling modalities: The boundaries between chemical, bioelectric, and metabolic signaling are dissolving, revealing unified control architectures. **c)** Therapeutics as signaling interventions: Drugs act not only on molecular targets but on network states, emphasizing the importance of signaling context in treatment design. **d)** Signaling and cellular resilience: Signalosomes and scaffold complexes represent critical nodes for stress adaptation and health maintenance. **e)** Epigenetic and bioelectric coupling: The recognition that electrical states influence gene expression points toward an expanded signaling lexicon encompassing physical and molecular dimensions.

Perspective: Toward a Unified Theory of Cellular Signaling

As cellular signaling research continues to mature, it is increasingly evident that the cell operates as a decision-making network, integrating multiple information streams across spatial and temporal scales. The articles in this issue collectively point toward a unified view of signaling, one where metabolism, membrane potential, and protein complexes converge to maintain adaptability and balance. Looking forward, several avenues of inquiry emerge including **a)** How can we model multi-modal signaling integration quantitatively, spanning ions, metabolites, and gene expression? **b)** What system-level feedback governs the transition between adaptive and pathological signaling states? **c)** Can therapeutic modulation of bioelectric or scaffold-based signaling yield new interventions for cancer, neurodegeneration, or viral infection? Advancing these questions will require cross-disciplinary collaboration linking biophysics, genomics, systems biology, and translational medicine.

Conclusion

When cells speak in many languages, they remind us of that communication in biology is not confined to a single dialect of molecules or messengers. Chemical cues, electrical potentials, metabolic fluxes, and structural rearrangements all form parts of a complex linguistic system through which cells interpret, adapt, and decide. Modern signaling biology is therefore less about decoding isolated pathways and more about understanding a living conversation, multimodal, context-dependent, and constantly evolving. The studies and perspectives discussed here illustrate that signaling is not a linear relay but a multidimensional dialogue. Each signal, be it ionic, biochemical, or metabolic, adds nuance to the cellular vocabulary, shaping behavior, fate, and resilience. As research continues to unravel these interconnected codes, the challenge ahead lies in integrating them into a unified grammar of life, one that explains not only how cells respond, but how they reason within their biological context. Ultimately, the evolving story of signaling is a story of translation, how diverse molecular languages converge to maintain coherence and harmony within the living system. Understanding that

symphony, and learning to listen across its many voices, may define the next era of cellular biology.

References

1. Lo Vasco VR. The Contribution of Signaling to Unraveling the Natural History of Cancer. The Lesson of the Phosphoinositide-specific Phospholipase C Pathway. J Cell Signal. 2025;6(3):92–8.
2. Warenus H. Metabolic Killing of Mitosis Addicted Cancer Cells by Targeting Aerobic Glycolysis: A New Achilles Heel of Cancer. J Cell Signal. 2025;6(3):109–18.
3. Wang X, Zhao J, Hewlett I. The Effects of Emtricitabine Pre-treatment on Inhibition of HIV-1 Infection in Jurkat Cells. J Cell Signal. 2025;6(3):99–108.
4. Tseng CC. A Renewed Interest in Bioelectric Signaling: Unveiling an Epigenetic Layer of Neural Stem Cell Self-renewal and Differentiation. J Cell Signal. 2025;6(3):119–21.
5. Nhieu J, Najjar F, Wei LN. CRABP1 Signalosomes in Cellular Stress Response and Health Maintenance. J Cell Signal. 2025;6(3):122–5.