

Antimicrobial Prophylaxis in Lymphoma by Chemotherapy Regimen

Kamen W. Kossow^{1,*}, Joseph G. Bennett², Marc S. Hoffmann²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS 66160, USA

²Department of Hematologic Malignancies and Cellular Therapeutics, University of Kansas Medical Center, Westwood, KS 66205, USA

*Correspondence should be addressed to Kamen W. Kossow, kkossow@kumc.edu

Received date: September 15, 2023, Accepted date: October 09, 2023

Citation: Kossow KW, Bennett JG, Hoffmann MS. Antimicrobial Prophylaxis in Lymphoma by Chemotherapy Regimen. J Cell Immunol. 2023;5(4):103-115.

Copyright: © 2023 Kossow KW, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Treatment of lymphomas involves a wide variety of chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and targeted-agents tailored to disease biology and patient characteristics. Each of these regimens carry their own risk of opportunistic infections in an immunocompromised population. In addition to the treatment associated immunosuppression, lymphoma itself is immunosuppressive. Lymphoma associated immunosuppression is secondary to increased production of abnormal lymphocytes resulting in decreased production of normally functioning lymphocytes. Additionally, lymphoma cells induce both humoral and cellular immunosuppression through effects on numerous cytokines, T-cells, myeloidderived suppressor cells, and macrophages. Clinical trials, patient co-morbidities, and institutional preferences all play a role in determining the preferred antimicrobial prophylaxis. While there is a paucity of data on systematic reviews and guidelines for standardized chemotherapy regimens in lymphoma patients, the efficacy and recommendations for antimicrobial prophylaxis in specific chemotherapy regimens for lymphoma has not been fully reviewed. According to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, lymphoma is generally regarded as an 'intermediate risk' cancer with regards to overall infection risk. This results in discordance between research data and clinical practice. This is reiterated in the SIGNIFICANT trial which reported that while guidelines previously advised against fluoroquinolone prophylaxis in lymphoma and solid cancers, a survey of 3,600 physicians revealed that 45% routinely used fluoroquinolone prophylaxis despite these recommendations. This review article analyzes numerous research studies with summarization of findings and antimicrobial prophylaxis recommendations based on specific lymphoma chemotherapy regimens. With regards to each specific chemotherapy regimen assessed, indications for antibacterial, anti-viral, anti-fungal, and Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia (PJP) prophylaxis were determined for each regimen. The degree of immunosuppression and the necessary prophylaxis varies across different regimens and lymphoma subgroups; and thus, an individualized approach is necessary to optimize the supportive care during lymphoma treatment.

Keywords: Cancer immunology, Lymphoma, Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL), Antimicrobial prophylaxis, Anti-bacterial, Anti-viral, Anti-fungal, Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia (PJP), Infection, Chemotherapy

Introduction

Due to the immunosuppression associated with malignancy treatment, infection reigns as one of the largest culprits of cancer-related deaths [1]. Of all cancer-related deaths, studies have found that approximately 60% of these are infectionrelated or directly caused by infections [1]. Rates of infectionrelated deaths are higher in hematologic malignancies compared to solid tumors [1]. The mortality rate of fatal infections in patients with malignancy occurs in approximately 260/100,000 persons per year, three times that of the general population [1]. With the large numbers of infection-related deaths in patients with malignancy, focus on infection prevention remains a vital role of cancer management. With

improvements in infection prophylaxis, the mortality rates of fatal infections in cancer patients have decreased in recent decades [1].

Infection prevention in cancer patients is aimed at prevention of conventional and opportunistic infections in four major infectious categories: bacterial infections, viral infections, fungal infections, and PJP. These infections can occur within the context of febrile neutropenia (FN), which presents additional management considerations and increases risk of morbidity and mortality. Neutropenia is defined as an absolute neutrophil count (ANC) \leq 1500/mm³, with severe neutropenia being defined as an ANC \leq 500/mm³ [2,3]. In FN, fever is defined as a single temperature measurement \geq 38.3°C (101.0°F) or a temperature of \geq 38.0°C (100.4°F) for \geq one hour duration. With FN being one of the most serious adverse events in malignancy with risk of life-threatening complications, prevention of FN is fundamental in cancer management [2,3]. This primary prevention is achieved with prophylactic antimicrobial agents targeting each of these types of infections. Recommendations regarding when prophylactic antimicrobial agents are indicated vary depending on cancer type and treatment regimen used.

Generally, the overall risk of infection in patients with cancer is classified into 'low risk', 'intermediate risk', and 'high risk' depending on the type of cancer and treatment regimen used. These classifications commonly guide when antimicrobial prophylaxis is indicated. Patients classified as 'low risk' typically have anticipated neutropenia \leq 7 days duration and classically do not require routine antimicrobial prophylaxis [4]. Patients classified as 'intermediate risk' typically have anticipated neutropenia between 7-10 days duration and indications for antimicrobial prophylaxis is controversial [4,5]. Patients classified as 'high risk' typically have anticipated neutropenia ≥ 10 days duration and generally require antimicrobial prophylaxis [4,5]. Examples of scenarios classified as 'high risk' include acute leukemia, moderate to severe graft versus host disease, and patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation [4,5]. The ambiguity with regards to antimicrobial indications in patients classified as 'intermediate risk' poses the greatest need for further research to guide recommendations in clinical practice.

Prior to the SIGNIFICANT trial in 2005, research on the role of antimicrobial prophylaxis in hematologic malignancies was primarily studied regarding leukemia, with less research tailored towards lymphoma. While the SIGNIFICANT trial addressed antibiotic prophylaxis in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL), a majority (90.7%) of the patients studied received cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisolone (CHOP) [6]. Although CHOP is a commonly used chemotherapy regimen in NHL, many other regimens are used in clinical practice. There are several studies referencing antimicrobial prophylaxis across lymphoma chemotherapy

J Cell Immunol. 2023 Volume 5, Issue 4 regimens, though a concise review that evaluates the use of all antimicrobial prophylaxis (anti-bacterial, anti-viral, anti-fungal, and PJP prophylaxis) in specific chemotherapy regimens has yet to be conducted. Antimicrobial prophylaxis that is tailored to the specific lymphoma regimen is critical for clinicians to be mindful of to improve the overall management of these patients.

In this review article, eight commonly used chemotherapy regimens in the treatment of lymphoma were addressed with regards to their differing risks of developing bacterial, viral, fungal, and PJP infections. The chemotherapy regimens assessed in this review article include: R-CHOP (rituximab + cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone), BR (bendamustine, rituximab), AVD (doxorubicin, vinblastine, dacarbazine) containing chemotherapy, P-R-CHP (polatuzumab vedotin + rituximab + cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, prednisone), HD MTX (high-dose methotrexate), Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, dexamethasone/methotrexate, cytarabine), RICE (rituximab, ifosfamide, carboplatin, etoposide), and bispecific antibodies. Examples of AVD containing chemotherapies assessed include: ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine), BV + AVD (brentuximab vedotin + doxorubicin, vinblastine, dacarbazine), and N + AVD (nivolumab + doxorubicin, vinblastine, dacarbazine). With regards to these chemotherapy regimens addressed, the specific drug targets of each chemotherapy agent can be referenced in **Table 1**. Additionally, the reported two-year progression-free survival (PFS) for each chemotherapy regimen can be referenced in Table 2.

General prophylaxis indications and duration of antimicrobial therapy can be referenced in **Table 3**. Assuming no definite contraindications, the preferred first-line antimicrobial prophylactic agents are: levofloxacin 500 mg or 750 mg daily for anti-bacterial prophylaxis, acyclovir 400 mg twice daily for anti-viral prophylaxis, fluconazole 400 mg daily for anti-fungal prophylaxis, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) 1 double strength (800 mg/160 mg) tablet three times weekly for PJP prophylaxis.

R-CHOP (Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, Prednisone)

With regards to the management of NHL, R-CHOP is one of the more commonly used chemotherapy regimens. The addition of rituximab, an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, has been shown to markedly enhance the efficacy of chemotherapy in NHL treatment and thus, is commonly included as a front-line treatment agent for B-cell NHL [7]. The use of rituximab has been shown to prolong the time to disease progression and extend overall survival in follicular lymphoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), mantle cell lymphoma, marginal zone lymphoma, and Burkitt lymphoma [7]. Rituximab leads to

Table 1. Drug targets of chemotherapy regimens used in lymphoma.				
Chemotherapy Regimen:	Chemotherapy Drug Targets:			
R-CHOP	Rituximab (R): Antibody against CD20 [7] Cyclophosphamide (C): Inhibition of DNA replication, induction of programmed cell death [57] Doxorubicin (O): Free radical formation, cell membrane disruption, drug-intercalation into DNA [58] Prednisone (P): Programmed cell death in certain lymphoid cell populations [59]			
BR	Bendamustine (B): Inhibition of DNA repair, induction of cell death [25] Rituximab (R): Antibody against CD20 [7]			
AVD containing chemotherapy ABVD BV + AVD N + AVD	 Doxorubicin (A): Free radical formation, cell membrane disruption, and drug-intercalation into DNA [58] Vinblastine (V): Depolymerization of microtubules [60] Dacarbazine (D): Inhibition of DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis [61] Bleomycin (B): Induction of DNA strand breaks leading to inhibition of cell replication [62] Brentuximab Vedotin (BV): Antibody against CD30 [36] Nivolumab (N): Inhibition of PD-1 [63] 			
P-R-CHP	Polatuzumab Vedotin (P): Antibody against CD79B [43]Rituximab (R): Antibody against CD20 [7]Cyclophosphamide (C): Inhibition of DNA replication, induction of programmed cell death [57]Doxorubicin (H): Free radical formation, cell membrane disruption, drug-intercalation into DNA [58]Prednisone (P): Programmed cell death in certain lymphoid cell populations [59]			
HD MTX	Methotrexate (MTX): Disruption of folate-related enzymes leading to inhibition of cell division [64]			
Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C	Cyclophosphamide (C): Inhibition of DNA replication, induction of programmed cell death [57] Vincristine (V): Inhibition of microtubule formation [65] Doxorubicin (A): Free radical formation, cell membrane disruption, drug-intercalation into DNA [58] Dexamethasone (D): Programmed cell death in certain lymphoid cell populations [59] Methotrexate (MTX): Disruption of folate-related enzymes leading to inhibition of cell division [64] Cytarabine (Ara-C): Integration into DNA disrupting DNA replication [66]			
RICE	 Rituximab (R): Antibody against CD20 [7] Ifosfamide (I): Induction of apoptosis, upregulation of reactive oxygen species leading to DNA damage and cessation of protein formation [67] Carboplatin (C): Cross linking of DNA strands leading to inhibition of DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis [68] Etoposide (E): Inhibition of topoisomerase II leading to inhibition of DNA re-ligation [69] 			
Bispecific Antibodies	Bispecific Antibodies: Antibodies against CD20 and CD3 [52]			
R-CHOP: Rituximah + Cyclophosphamide Doxorubicin Vincristine Prednisona): RR: Rendamustine Rituximah: AVD: Doxorubicin				

R-CHOP: Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, Prednisone); BR: Bendamustine, Rituximab; AVD: Doxorubicin, Vinblastine, Dacarbazine); ABVD: Doxorubicin, Bleomycin, Vinblastine, Dacarbazine), BV: Brentuximab Vedotin; N: Nivolumab; PD-1: Programmed Cell Death Protein 1; P-R-CHP: Polatuzumab vedotin + Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Prednisone; HD MTX: High-Dose Methotrexate); Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C: Cyclophosphamide, Vincristine, Doxorubicin, Dexamethasone/Methotrexate, Cytarabine; RICE: Rituximab, Ifosfamide, Carboplatin, Etoposide

Table 2. Two-year progression-free survival (PFS) rates by chemotherapy regimen in lymphoma.					
Chemotherapy Regimen:	Two-Year Progression-Free Survival (PFS):				
R-CHOP	70.2% ^a [44]				
BR	87.0% ^b [70]				
AVD containing chemotherapy					
ABVD	77.2% [36]				
BV + AVD	82.1% ° [36]				
N + AVD	98.0% ^d [71]				
P-R-CHP	76.7% ^a [44]				
HD MTX	55.0% ^e [72]				
Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C	82.0% ^f [73]				
RICE	54.0% ^g [74]				
Bispecific Antibodies	75.9% ^h [75]				

^a Previously untreated intermediate-risk or high-risk DLBCL

^b Frontline treatment for advanced-stage follicular lymphoma

^c Previously untreated stage 3 or 4 classic Hodgkin's lymphoma

^d Frontline treatment for classic Hodgkin's lymphoma

^e Induction treatment for primary CNS lymphoma

^f Frontline treatment for mantle cell lymphoma

⁹ PFS after second-line treatment with RICE followed by ASCT for relapsed or primary refractory DLBCL

^h PFS for mosunetuzumab monotherapy in patients with follicular lymphoma

PFS: Progression-Free Survival; R-CHOP: Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, Prednisone; BR: Bendamustine, rituximab; AVD: Doxorubicin, Vinblastine, Dacarbazine; ABVD: Doxorubicin, Bleomycin, Vinblastine, Dacarbazine; BV: Brentuximab Vedotin; N: Nivolumab; PD-1: Programmed Cell Death Protein 1; P-R-CHP: Polatuzumab Vedotin + Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Prednisone; HD MTX: High-Dose Methotrexate; Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C: Cyclophosphamide, Vincristine, Doxorubicin, Dexamethasone/ Methotrexate, Cytarabine; RICE: Rituximab, Ifosfamide, Carboplatin, Etoposide; DLBCL: Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma; ASCT: Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation

Table 3. Antimicrobial prophylaxis indications and duration based on chemotherapy regimen.					
Chemotherapy Regimen:	Prophylaxis Recommendations:	First-Line Prophylaxis Regimens:	Prophylaxis Duration:		
R-CHOP	Anti-Bacterial: High-risk only ^a Anti-Viral: YES Anti-Fungal: NO PJP: High-risk only ^b	Anti-Bacterial: Levofloxacin Anti-Viral: Acyclovir Anti-Fungal: PJP: TMP-SMX	Anti-Bacterial: Seven days post- chemotherapy Anti-Viral: Throughout all chemotherapy cycles Anti-Fungal: PJP: Throughout all chemotherapy cycles		
BR	Anti-Bacterial: NO Anti-Viral: YES Anti-Fungal: NO PJP: YES	Anti-Bacterial: Anti-Viral: Acyclovir Anti-Fungal: PJP: TMP-SMX	Anti-Bacterial: Anti-Viral: While CD4 count is ≤ 200/ μl ^c Anti-Fungal: PJP: While CD4 count is ≤ 200/μl ^c		

	1	1	
AVD containing chemotherapy ^d	Anti-Bacterial: NO	Anti-Bacterial:	Anti-Bacterial:
	Anti-Viral: NO	Anti-Viral:	Anti-Viral:
	Anti-Fungal: NO	Anti-Fungal:	Anti-Fungal:
	РЈР: NO	PJP:	РЈР:
			Anti-Bacterial:
	Anti-Bacterial: NO	Anti-Bacterial:	Anti-Viral: Throughout all
	Anti-Viral: YES	Anti-Viral: Acyclovir	chemotherapy cycles
	Anti-Fungal: NO	Anti-Fungal:	Anti-Fungal:
	PJP: YES	PJP: TMP-SMX	PJP: Throughout all chemotherapy
	Anti Pastarial: NO	Anti Pactoriali	Anti-Bacterial:
			Anti-Viral: Throughout all
HD MTX			
	Anti-Fungai: NO		Anti-Fungai:
	PJP: YES	PJP: IMP-SMX	cycles ^e
Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C			Anti-Bacterial: During anticipated
	Anti-Bacterial: YES	Anti-Bacterial: Levofloxacin	
	Anti-Viral: YES	Anti-Viral: Acyclovir	chemotherapy cycles
	Anti-Fungal: YES	Anti-Fungal: Fluconazole	Anti-Fungal: During anticipated
	PJP: YES	PJP: TMP-SMX	neutropenia
			PJP: Throughout all chemotherapy cycles
RICE			Anti-Bacterial: Throughout all
	Anti-Bacterial: YES	Anti-Bacterial: Levofloxacin	chemotherapy cycles
	Anti-Viral: YES	Anti-Viral: Acyclovir	Anti-Viral: Throughout all
	Anti-Fungal: NO	Anti-Fungal:	chemotherapy cycles
	РЈР: NO	РЈР:	Anti-Fungal:
			РЈР:
Bispecific Antibodies ^f			Anti-Bacterial:
	Anti-Bacterial: NO	Anti-Bacterial:	Anti-Viral: Throughout all
	Anti-Viral: YES	Anti-Viral: Acyclovir	chemotherapy cycles
	Anti-Fungal: NO	Anti-Fungal:	Anti-Fungal:
	РЈР: YES	PJP: TMP-SMX	PJP: Throughout all chemotherapy cycles

^a High-risk patients (anti-bacterial) [13]: see Table 4

^b High-risk patients (PJP): comorbid autoimmune disorder, history of solid organ transplant, R-CHOP 14 treatment regimen [21-24]

^c Monitor CD4 count bimonthly [28,29,32,33]

^d Examples of AVD containing chemotherapy: ABVD, BV + AVD, N + AVD

 $^{\circ}$ Must hold PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX during MTX treatment until serum MTX cleared \leq 0.1 μ M [19]

^f Examples of bispecific antibodies: mosunetuzumab, epcoritamab, glofitamab

R-CHOP: Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, Prednisone); PJP: Pneumocystis Jiroveci Pneumonia; TMP-SMX: Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole; BR: Bendamustine, Rituximab; AVD: Doxorubicin, Vinblastine, Dacarbazine; P-R-CHP: Polatuzumab vedotin + Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Prednisone); HD MTX: High-Dose Methotrexate; Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C: Cyclophosphamide, Vincristine, Doxorubicin, Dexamethasone/Methotrexate, Cytarabine); RICE: Rituximab, Ifosfamide, Carboplatin, Etoposide; ABVD: Doxorubicin, Bleomycin, Vinblastine, Dacarbazine; BV: Brentuximab Vedotin); N: Nivolumab

B-cell depletion and consequent hypogammaglobulinemia, factors that reduce vaccine efficacy, increase severity of sinopulmonary infections, and poor control of viral infections exemplified by reactivation of hepatitis B [8].

Anti-bacterial

The recommendations regarding the use of anti-bacterial prophylaxis in R-CHOP is generally that no prophylaxis is indicated in the standard population [9-12]. To establish scenarios when the use of anti-bacterial prophylaxis is indicated, risk stratification to determine patients that would benefit from prophylaxis is necessary. The risk of hospitalization for febrile neutropenia in R-CHOP over a total of six cycle's was 23%, with the primary risk occurring during cycle one and cycle two (6% and 8% respectively). Factors associated with increased risk for hospitalization for febrile neutropenia were early chemotherapy cycles (cycle's one and two), age \geq 65 years old, baseline serum albumin level \leq 3.5 g/dL, ANC \leq 1500/mm³, planned average relative dose intensity (ARDI) \geq 80% for cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin, and the presence of comorbid hepatic disease [13]. A summary of these factors associated with increased risk for bacterial infections can be referenced in Table 4. Using these increased risk factors can aid in determining which scenarios require the use of antibacterial prophylaxis with levofloxacin. Additionally, antibacterial prophylaxis is often considered in clinical practice for patients who develop FN during R-CHOP therapy, particularly patients who require hospitalization.

Anti-viral

Multiple studies showed a clinical benefit to using antiviral therapy with acyclovir in patients treated with R-CHOP and thus, prophylaxis throughout all chemotherapy cycle's is recommended in this patient population [10,11,12,14].

Anti-fungal

Studies have shown a low risk of fungal infection with the use of R-CHOP and thus, the use of anti-fungal prophylaxis is not recommended for this general population [9,15,16].

PJP

Use of rituximab is associated with increased risk for PJP when compared to non-rituximab containing regimens [17,18]. While studies have showed increased rates of PJP with the addition of rituximab, the guidelines regarding recommendations for prophylaxis with TMP-SMX remains unclear. Broadly among all malignancies and chemotherapy regimens, PJP prophylaxis is required if patients have a \geq 3.5% risk of developing PJP infection [19]. The lack of clarity regarding recommendations for PJP prophylaxis in patients with lymphoma treated with rituximab is due to conflicting studies that show differing rates of PJP in these scenarios. Through literature review, three pertinent studies identified were in favor of the use of PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX in this patient population [17,18,20]. In contrast, five pertinent studies were identified that argued against the use of PJP prophylaxis in this patient population [9,11,12,18,19]. Given the ambiguity of reported incidence of PJP in lymphoma patients treated with R-CHOP, the recommendation for PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX is only indicated in select populations with additional risk factors for developing PJP. These patients found to have increased risk for developing PJP compared to the general patient population include those with comorbid autoimmune disorders and patients who have

Table 4. High-risk patient factors treated with R-CHOP to guide anti-bacterial prophylaxis indications.				
High-Risk Patient Factors [13]:				
Early chemotherapy cycles (cycle's one and two)				
Age ≥ 65 years old				
Baseline serum albumin ≤ 3.5 g/dL				
ANC ≤ 1500/mm ³				
Planned ARDI ≥ 80% ^a				
Presence of comorbid hepatic disease				
^a ARDI of cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin R-CHOP: Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, Prednisone; ANC: Absolute Neutrophil Count; ARDI: Average Relativ Dose Intensity				

undergone solid organ transplant [21,22]. Additionally, studies have shown an increased risk of developing PJP in patients treated with R-CHOP 14 (patients receiving R-CHOP every 14 days) when compared to patients treated with R-CHOP 21 (patients receiving R-CHOP every 21 days). Recommendations are that patients treated with R-CHOP 14 receive PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX throughout the full chemotherapy course [23,24]. Those without additional risk factors do not require PJP prophylaxis. Ongoing research regarding this is essential in developing better defined recommendations for PJP prophylaxis in this patient population.

BR (Bendamustine, Rituximab)

While bendamustine has similar alkylating agent properties to other commonly used alkylating agents such as cyclophosphamide or cladribine, its antimetabolite effects on DNA repair and induction of cell death differs from these standard alkylating agents [25]. Due to the mechanism of action of bendamustine, its use in the treatment of lymphoma has been shown to result in prolonged CD4+ T-cell lymphopenia lasting up until seven-to-nine months after the final bendamustine treatment. This has been associated with an increased risk of opportunistic infections [26,27].

Anti-bacterial

The risk of bacterial infection in patients treated with BR has been shown to be lower than that of other chemotherapy regimens used in the treatment of lymphoma. Given these findings and recommendations from additional articles, no anti-bacterial prophylaxis is recommended in this patient population [11-13].

Anti-viral

Given the resultant prolonged lymphopenia associated with bendamustine, it is recommended that patients undergoing BR chemotherapy be treated with anti-viral prophylaxis with acyclovir until their CD4 cell count increases $\geq 200/\mu$ l. Bimonthly CD4 monitoring is recommended in these patients until the CD4 cell count stabilizes $\geq 200/\mu$ l [9,28,29].

Anti-fungal

Overall, there has been shown to be a low risk of fungal infection associated with patients treated with BR. Given this, the general recommendation is that there is no indication for anti-fungal prophylaxis in this patient population [30,31].

PJP

Given the prolonged CD4+ T-cell lymphopenia associated with BR therapy, it is recommended that this patient population undergo bimonthly CD4 monitoring and be treated with PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX until their CD4 cell count increases $\geq 200/\mu I$ [9,32,33]. Patients frequently will require prophylaxis

J Cell Immunol. 2023 Volume 5, Issue 4 for 6-12 months after completion of chemotherapy, with discontinuation based on CD4 count recovery.

AVD (Doxorubicin, Vinblastine, Dacarbazine) Containing Chemotherapy

ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine)

BV + **AVD** (brentuximab vedotin + doxorubicin, vinblastine, dacarbazine)

N + AVD (nivolumab + doxorubicin, vinblastine, dacarbazine)

With regards to the treatment of Hodgkin's lymphoma, AVD combined with an additional therapeutic agent is commonly used for management. The three major additional agents combined with AVD are bleomycin (ABVD), brentuximab vedotin (BV + AVD), or nivolumab (N + AVD) [34,35]. While neutropenia is a common complication associated with ABVD, occurring in approximately 34% of patients, the incidence of FN is much less frequent. The overall incidence of neutropenic complications in these patients treated with ABVD has been shown to be < 1% [34]. Brentuximab vedotin is an anti-CD30 monoclonal antibody drug conjugate that, when used in conjunction with AVD, has shown superior efficacy compared to ABVD in treatment naive patients with advanced-stage Hodgkin's lymphoma in the ECHELON1 study [36]. ECHELON1 noted superior progression free survival and overall survival for BV + AVD compared to ABVD, but with higher rates of febrile neutropenia, and growth factor prophylaxis was consequently recommended [36]. The recent SWOG study comparing BV + AVD to N + AVD for advanced stage Hodgkin's lymphoma reported improvements in progression free survival with N + AVD with lower rates of both neutropenia and febrile neutropenia [37,38]. At this time, the guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in ABVD, BV + AVD, and N + AVD largely mirror each other [39]. As the use of BV + AVD and N + AVD increases in the future, ongoing research assessing their associated infection risks is needed to further develop antimicrobial prophylaxis guidelines.

Anti-bacterial

Indications for anti-bacterial prophylaxis in patients treated with ABVD differ across different research studies. For example, the SIGNIFCANT trial evaluated the use of antibacterial prophylaxis in the setting of ABVD treatment. The results showed a significant reduction in the incidence of infection and hospitalization for neutropenic infection when anti-bacterial prophylaxis was used. Given these findings, the SIGNIFICANT trial recommended using anti-bacterial prophylaxis with levofloxacin in this population for seven days after chemotherapy to cover for anticipated neutropenia [6]. In contrast, multiple other studies, including a thorough review article (Neutropenia and Neutropenic Complications in ABVD Chemotherapy for Hodgkin Lymphoma), reported

no significant improvement in these patients treated with anti-bacterial prophylaxis [34]. Similarly, the risk of bacterial infection remains low in patients treated with BV + AVD and N + AVD [39]. Given these findings, the general recommendation is that anti-bacterial prophylaxis is not indicated in patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma undergoing ABVD, BV + AVD, or N + AVD therapy.

Anti-viral

According to the Guidelines of Infectious Diseases Working Party of the German Society for Hematology and Medical Oncology, the strength of recommendation for using anti-viral prophylaxis in patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma treated with ABVD is a 'C' (range A-D), indicating only a marginal support of recommendation for use [28]. The recommendation for antiviral prophylaxis is equivalent for BV + AVD and N + AVD when compared to ABVD [39]. Given this, no anti-viral prophylaxis is recommended for this patient population.

Anti-fungal

No anti-fungal prophylaxis is recommended in patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma treated with ABVD, BV + AVD, or N + AVD [39,40].

PJP

At this time, the general recommendation is that PJP prophylaxis is typically not necessary in patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma treated with ABVD [41]. This is reiterated by studies that showed prophylaxis did not significantly decrease the incidence of PJP in this patient population [42]. While this is the current recommendation, the overall incidence and mortality associated with PJP in patients treated with ABVD is increased and thus, further research is necessary to clarify the optimal recommendations [41,42]. With regards to patients treated with BV + AVD, rates of PJP have been shown to be low (0.1-1.0%) and PJP prophylaxis is not recommended [39]. Similarly, patients treated with N + AVD without additional risk factors, such as additional prolonged steroid use, do not require PJP prophylaxis [39].

P-R-CHP (Polatuzumab Vedotin + Rituximab + Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Prednisone):

Polatuzumab vedotin is an anti-CD79B monoclonal antibody that has been used in conjunction with R-CHP to treat relapsed/ refractory lymphoma [43]. Treatment of higher risk DLBCL with P-R-CHP has shown a decreased risk of disease progression, relapse, or death when compared to patients treated with R-CHOP and P-R-CHP can be considered as a front-line agent in these patients [44]. When compared to patients treated with R-CHOP, while the incidence of febrile neutropenia was higher in patients receiving P-R-CHP compared to R-CHOP (14.3% vs 8.0%), there was no significant findings suggesting a higher overall incidence of infection [44].

Anti-bacterial

Generally, no anti-bacterial prophylaxis is necessary in patients treated with P-R-CHP for lymphoma [45].

Anti-viral

According to the FDA prescribing recommendations for P-R-CHP, the use of anti-viral prophylaxis with acyclovir is recommended throughout all chemotherapy cycles [45].

Anti-fungal

While there is less data on the rates of fungal infection with P-R-CHP, the addition of polatuzumab vedotin to R-CHP does not significantly increase the risk of fungal infection in this patient population and thus, no anti-fungal prophylaxis is recommended [15].

PJP

According to the FDA prescribing recommendations for P-R-CHP, the use of PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX is recommended throughout all chemotherapy cycles [45].

HD MTX (High-dose Methotrexate)

High-dose methotrexate is a commonly used chemotherapy regimen to treat primary CNS lymphoma due to its ability to cross the blood-brain-barrier at high doses [46].

Anti-bacterial

Recommendations are that no anti-bacterial prophylaxis is indicated in patients with primary CNS lymphoma treated with HD MTX [10].

Anti-viral

The use of anti-viral prophylaxis with acyclovir is recommended throughout the full treatment course in patients treated with HD MTX [10].

Anti-fungal

Generally, there is a low risk of fungal infection in patients treated with HD MTX and thus, no anti-fungal prophylaxis is necessary in this patient population [10,31].

PJP

In patients treated with HD MTX, the general recommendation is to use PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX throughout all chemotherapy cycles. As noted in **Table 3**, TMP-SMX must be held during each MTX treatment until the MTX is cleared (serum MTX level \leq 0.1 μ M) due to TMP-SMX's effect on the excretion of MTX [10,12,19].

Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, dexamethasone/methotrexate, cytarabine)

Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C is an alternating chemotherapy regimen which typically uses hyper-CVAD during odd chemotherapy cycles (e.g., cycles one, three, five, seven) and MTX-Ara-C during even chemotherapy cycles (e.g., cycles two, four, six, eight). It is commonly used to treat high grade lymphomas such as Burkitt lymphoma [47].

Anti-bacterial, anti-viral, anti-fungal, and PJP

It has been recommended to use anti-bacterial prophylaxis with levofloxacin during anticipated neutropenia, anti-viral prophylaxis with acyclovir throughout all chemotherapy cycles, anti-fungal prophylaxis with fluconazole during anticipated neutropenia, and PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX throughout all chemotherapy cycles in patients treated with hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C [47]. These recommendations have been reiterated in multiple large center guidelines including Stanford University, Michigan University, and UCLA [10-12].

RICE (Rituximab, Ifosfamide, Carboplatin, Etoposide)

In patients with relapsed or primary refractory NHL, salvage or consolidative stem cell transplantation (SCT) is often considered as a treatment alternative. For these relapsed or primary refractory NHL, a second-line chemotherapy regimen that does not cause stem cell toxicity is necessary prior to evaluation for SCT. In these scenarios, the chemotherapy regimen RICE is often used [48]. This chemotherapy regimen has been shown to have an overall higher risk of infection (>20% risk of neutropenic fever) when compared to other chemotherapy regimens (10-20% risk of neutropenic fever) used in the treatment of lymphoma [19,49].

Anti-bacterial

Given the significant neutropenia and high risk for neutropenic fever associated with RICE therapy, the use of anti-bacterial prophylaxis with levofloxacin is recommended throughout the full treatment course [50].

Anti-viral

In patients treated with RICE chemotherapy, it is generally recommended that anti-viral prophylaxis with acyclovir be used throughout the full chemotherapy treatment course [11,12].

Anti-fungal

The overall risk of invasive fungal disease in lymphoma patients treated with RICE is typically defined as 'low risk' (~2% incidence) [31]. Studies have demonstrated the incidence of

invasive fungal disease in ICE therapy of 0.0% consistent with a 'low risk' classification [51]. Given this, anti-fungal prophylaxis is not indicated in patients treated with RICE therapy. This is reiterated by Michigan University guidelines [12].

PJP

Generally, the use of PJP prophylaxis in patients treated with RICE is not recommended in this patient population [10-12].

Bispecific Antibodies

Bispecific antibodies have emerged as a promising new treatment option for patients with relapsed or refractory NHL. Some examples of bispecific antibodies used in these patient scenarios are mosunetuzumab, epcoritamab, and glofitamab. These bispecific antibodies target both CD20 on malignant B-cells and CD3 on malignant T-cells [52]. Overall rates of infection in patients treated with bispecific antibodies range between 15-45% with a large proportion of infections classified as grade three severity or higher [53].

Anti-bacterial

Generally, no anti-bacterial prophylaxis is recommended in patients treated with bispecific antibodies [54,55].

Anti-viral

In patients treated with a bispecific antibody, recommendations are to use anti-viral prophylaxis treatment with acyclovir throughout the entire treatment course [54,55].

Anti-fungal

Patients treated with bispecific antibodies for NHL have been found to have a low risk of fungal infections, thus no antifungal prophylaxis is recommended in this patient population [16].

PJP

It is recommended across all patients treated with bispecific antibodies that they be treated with PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX throughout the full treatment course [54-56].

Conclusions

Certain cancer subtypes, such as acute leukemia, and their associated chemotherapy regimens are clearly defined as 'high risk' with regards to infectious complications, while other cancer subtypes such as solid tumors and their associated chemotherapy regimens are clearly defined as 'low risk'. These classifications make determining the indications for antimicrobial prophylaxis clear in these cancer subtypes. In contrary, the infection risk for patients with lymphoma is often classified as 'intermediate risk', creating a broad

range for clinical interpretations when determining the appropriate indications for antimicrobial prophylaxis [4]. The recommendations for antimicrobial prophylaxis vary across different chemotherapy regimens used in the treatment of lymphoma and have not been succinctly reported in literature review. This data-driven review on antimicrobial prophylaxis in this patient population will help to guide providers in clinical management.

Eight commonly used chemotherapy regimens in the treatment of both NHL and Hodgkin's lymphoma were addressed in this review article (R-CHOP, BR, AVD containing chemotherapy (ABVD, BV + AVD, N + AVD), P-R-CHP, HD MTX, Hyper-CVAD/MTX-Ara-C, RICE, bispecific antibodies). First-line antimicrobial prophylaxis regimens and doses are stated in the 'Introduction' section of this review article. Of note, it is important to remember that these medications are the preferred first-line prophylactic regimens in patients without any contraindications, and thus, if patients have a contraindication to any of these medications, alternative antimicrobials should be sought out. A summary of each chemotherapy regimens antimicrobial prophylaxis recommendations and duration of therapy can be found in **Table 3**.

Given the complexity in determining the appropriate antimicrobial prophylaxis in lymphoma based on the specific chemotherapy regimen, classifying prophylaxis indications based on treatment regimens will assist in guiding clinicians when treating lymphoma. Conversely, understanding indications for prophylaxis is imperative to preventing unnecessary prescribing of antimicrobial prophylaxis. This knowledge will limit medication adverse effects, prevent antibiotic resistance, and reduce avoidable costs.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interests.

Funding Statement

The authors have no funding for this article.

Acknowledgments

Kamen W. Kossow, Joseph G. Bennett, and Marc S. Hoffmann prepared and wrote the manuscript.

References

1. Zheng Y, Chen Y, Yu K, Yang Y, Wang X, Yang X, et al. Fatal infections among cancer patients: a population-based study in the United States. Infectious Diseases and Therapy. 2021 Jun;10:871-95.

2. Keng MK, Sekeres MA. Febrile neutropenia in hematologic malignancies. Current Hematologic Malignancy Reports. 2013 Dec;8:370-8.

J Cell Immunol. 2023 Volume 5, Issue 4 3. Villafuerte-Gutierrez P, Villalon L, Losa JE, Henriquez-Camacho C. Treatment of febrile neutropenia and prophylaxis in hematologic malignancies: a critical review and update. Advances in hematology. 2014 Nov 27;2014:986938.

4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. (2023). Prevention and treatment of cancer-related infections.

5. Freifeld AG, Bow EJ, Sepkowitz KA, Boeckh MJ, Ito JI, Mullen CA, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the use of antimicrobial agents in neutropenic patients with cancer: 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2011 Feb 15;52(4):e56-93.

6. Cullen M, Steven N, Billingham L, Gaunt C, Hastings M, Simmonds P, et al. Antibacterial prophylaxis after chemotherapy for solid tumors and lymphomas. New England Journal of Medicine. 2005 Sep 8;353(10):988-98.

7. Salles G, Barrett M, Foà R, Maurer J, O'Brien S, Valente N, et al. Rituximab in B-cell hematologic malignancies: a review of 20 years of clinical experience. Advances in Therapy. 2017 Oct;34:2232-73.

8. Magliulo D, Wade SD, Kyttaris VC. Immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in rituximab-treated patients: effect of timing and immunologic parameters. Clinical Immunology. 2022 Jan 1;234:108897.

9. Ohmoto A, Fuji S. Infection profiles of different chemotherapy regimens and the clinical feasibility of antimicrobial prophylaxis in patients with DLBCL. Blood Reviews. 2021 Mar 1;46:100738.

10. Cheung E, Alegria W, Mannis G. Antimicrobial prophylaxis in hematology/oncology patients admitted to stanford health care. Stanford Health Care. 2022 Sep 14.

11. Brothers J. A proposal for institutional antimicrobial prophylaxis guidelines for patients with hematologic malignancies. Olive View-UCLA Medical Center. 2018

12. Prophylaxis guidelines for the adult hematology patient. Michigan Medicine.2021.

13. Lyman GH, Delgado DJ. Risk and timing of hospitalization for febrile neutropenia in patients receiving CHOP, CHOP-R, or CNOP chemotherapy for intermediate-grade non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Cancer. 2003 Dec 1;98(11):2402-9.

14. Murawski N, Pfreundschuh M, Zeynalova S, Poeschel V, Hänel M, Held G, et al. Optimization of rituximab for the treatment of DLBCL (I): dose-dense rituximab in the DENSE-R-CHOP-14 trial of the DSHNHL. Annals of Oncology. 2014 Sep 1;25(9):1800-6.

15. Little JS, Weiss ZF, Hammond SP. Invasive fungal infections and targeted therapies in hematological malignancies. Journal of Fungi. 2021 Dec 10;7(12):1058.

16. Mellinghoff SC, Panse J, Alakel N, Behre G, Buchheidt D, Christopeit M, et al. Primary prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections in patients with haematological malignancies: 2017 update of the recommendations of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society for Haematology and Medical Oncology

(DGHO). Annals of Hematology. 2018 Feb;97:197-207.

17. Jiang X, Mei X, Feng D, Wang X. Prophylaxis and treatment of Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia in lymphoma patients subjected to rituximab-contained therapy: a systemic review and meta-analysis. PloS One. 2015 Apr 24;10(4):e0122171.

18. Li C, Lu F, Lei T, Yu H, Chen X, Peng S, et al. Prophylactic antibiotic treatment with TMP-SMX decreased the incidence of interstitial pneumonia in patients with B-cell lymphoma on chemotherapy. BMC Cancer. 2020 Dec;20(1):1-7.

19. Janssens M, Saelvels K, Vergote V, Lemmens J, Bailly S, Janssens A, et al. (2022). BHS guidelines on supportive care in lymphoma: part 1. Belgian Journal of Hematology. 2022;13(3):116-23.

20. Hardak E, Oren I, Dann EJ, Yigla M, Faibish T, Rowe JM, et al. The increased risk for pneumocystis pneumonia in patients receiving rituximab-CHOP-14 can be prevented by the administration of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole: a single-center experience. Acta Haematologica. 2012 Feb 1;127(2):110-4.

21. Obeid KM, Aguilar J, Szpunar S, Sharma M, Del Busto R, Al-Katib A, et al. Risk factors for Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia in patients with lymphoproliferative disorders. Clinical Lymphoma Myeloma and Leukemia. 2012 Feb 1;12(1):66-9.

22. Martin-Garrido I, Carmona EM, Specks U, Limper AH. Pneumocystis pneumonia in patients treated with rituximab. Chest. 2013 Jul 1;144(1):258-65.

23. Hardak E, Oren I, Dann EJ, Yigla M, Faibish T, Rowe JM, et al. The increased risk for pneumocystis pneumonia in patients receiving rituximab-CHOP-14 can be prevented by the administration of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole: a single-center experience. Acta haematologica. 2012 Feb 1;127(2):110-4.

24. Cooley L, Dendle C, Wolf J, Teh BW, Chen SC, Boutlis C, et al. Consensus guidelines for diagnosis, prophylaxis and management of P neumocystis jirovecii pneumonia in patients with haematological and solid malignancies, 2014. Internal Medicine Journal. 2014 Dec;44(12b):1350-63.

25. Cheson BD, Leoni L. Bendamustine: mechanism of action and clinical data. Clinical advances in hematology & oncology: H&O. 2011 Aug 1;9(8 Suppl 19):1-1.

26. Fung M, Jacobsen E, Freedman A, Prestes D, Farmakiotis D, Gu X, et al. Increased risk of infectious complications in older patients with indolent non-Hodgkin lymphoma exposed to bendamustine. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2019 Jan 7;68(2):247-55.

27. Sarlo KM, Dixon BN, Ni A, Straus DJ. Incidence of infectious complications with the combination of bendamustine and an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody. Leukemia & Lymphoma. 2020 Jan 28;61(2):364-9.

28. Henze L, Buhl C, Sandherr M, Cornely OA, Heinz WJ, Khodamoradi Y, et al. Management of herpesvirus reactivations in patients with solid tumours and hematologic malignancies: update of the Guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society for Hematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO) on herpes simplex virus type 1, herpes simplex virus type 2, and varicella zoster virus. Annals of Hematology. 2022 Mar;101(3):491-511.

29. Murayama K, Kiguchi T, Izutsu K, Kameoka Y, Hidaka M, Kato H, et al. Bendamustine plus rituximab in Japanese patients with relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Annals of Hematology. 2022 May;101(5):979-89.

30. Facchinelli D, Marchesini G, Nadali G, Pagano L. Invasive fungal infections in patients with chronic lymphoproliferative disorders in the era of target drugs. Mediterranean journal of hematology and infectious diseases. 2018;10(1):e2018063.

31. Fleming S, Yannakou CK, Haeusler GM, Clark J, Grigg A, Heath CH, Bajel A, Van Hal SJ, Chen SC, Milliken ST, Morrissey CO. Consensus guidelines for antifungal prophylaxis in haematological malignancy and haemopoietic stem cell transplantation, 2014. Internal Medicine Journal. 2014 Dec;44(12b):1283-97.

32. García Muñoz R, Izquierdo-Gil A, Muñoz A, Roldan-Galiacho V, Rabasa P, Panizo C. Lymphocyte recovery is impaired in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia and indolent non-Hodgkin lymphomas treated with bendamustine plus rituximab. Annals of Hematology. 2014 Nov;93:1879-87.

33. Brugger W, Ghielmini M. Bendamustine in indolent non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a practice guide for patient management. The Oncologist. 2013 Aug 1;18(8):954-64.

34. Vakkalanka B, Link BK. Neutropenia and neutropenic complications in ABVD chemotherapy for Hodgkin lymphoma. Advances in hematology. 2011 May 2;2011:656013.

35. Gobbi PG, Ferreri AJ, Ponzoni M, Levis A. Hodgkin lymphoma. Critical reviews in oncology/hematology. 2013 Feb 1;85(2):216-37.

36. Connors JM, Jurczak W, Straus DJ, Ansell SM, Kim WS, Gallamini A, et al. Brentuximab vedotin with chemotherapy for stage III or IV Hodgkin's lymphoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2018 Jan 25;378(4):331-44.

37. Ansell SM. Nivolumab in the treatment of Hodgkin lymphoma. Clinical Cancer Research. 2017 Apr 1;23(7):1623-6.

38. Vassilakopoulos TP, Liaskas A, Pereyra P, Panayiotidis P, Angelopoulou MK, Gallamini A. Incorporating Monoclonal Antibodies into the First-Line Treatment of Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2023 Aug 24;24(17):13187.

39. Maschmeyer G, De Greef J, Mellinghoff SC, Nosari A, Thiebaut-Bertrand A, Bergeron A, et al. Infections associated with immunotherapeutic and molecular targeted agents in hematology and oncology. A position paper by the European Conference on Infections in Leukemia (ECIL). Leukemia. 2019 Apr;33(4):844-62.

40. Busca A, Cattaneo C, De Carolis E, Nadali G, Offidani M, Picardi M, et al. Considerations on antimicrobial prophylaxis in patients with lymphoproliferative diseases: A SEIFEM group position paper. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology. 2021 Feb 1;158:103203.

41. Kalin M, Kristinsson SY, Cherif H, Lebbad M, Björkholm M.

Fatal pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia in ABVD-treated Hodgkin lymphoma patients. Annals of Hematology. 2010 May;89:523-5.

42. Barreto JN, Thompson CA, Wieruszewski PM, Pawlenty AG, Mara KC, Potter AL, et al. Incidence, clinical presentation, and outcomes of Pneumocystis pneumonia when utilizing Polymerase Chain Reactionbased diagnosis in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma. Leukemia & lymphoma. 2020 Sep 18;61(11):2622-9.

43. Palanca-Wessels MC, Czuczman M, Salles G, Assouline S, Sehn LH, Flinn I, et al. Safety and activity of the anti-CD79B antibody–drug conjugate polatuzumab vedotin in relapsed or refractory B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a phase 1 study. The Lancet Oncology. 2015 Jun 1;16(6):704-15.

44. Tilly H, Morschhauser F, Sehn LH, Friedberg JW, Trněný M, Sharman JP, et al. Polatuzumab vedotin in previously untreated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. New England Journal of Medicine. 2022 Jan 27;386(4):351-63.

45. Polatuzumab vedotin-piiq. Highlights of prescribing information. Genentech, Inc. (2019). chrome-extension:// efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.gene.com/ download/pdf/polivy_prescribing.pdf

46. Joerger M, Huitema AD, Illerhaus G, Ferreri AJ. Rational administration schedule for high-dose methotrexate in patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma. Leukemia & lymphoma. 2012 Oct 1;53(10):1867-75.

47. Rausch CR, Jabbour EJ, Kantarjian HM, Kadia TM. Optimizing the use of the hyperCVAD regimen: clinical vignettes and practical management. Cancer. 2020 Mar 15;126(6):1152-60.

48. Zelenetz AD, Hamlin P, Kewalramani T, Yahalom J, Nimer S, Moskowitz CH. Ifosfamide, carboplatin, etoposide (ICE)-based second-line chemotherapy for the management of relapsed and refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Annals of Oncology. 2003 May 1;14:i5-10.

49. Flowers CR, Karten C. Communicating safe outpatient management of fever and neutropenia. Journal of Oncology Practice. 2013 Jul;9(4):207.

50. Caimi P, Jagadeesh D, Boughan KM, Dean RM, Cooper B, Pohlman B, et al. Safety and efficacy of venetoclax combined with rituximab, ifosfamide, carboplatin and etoposide chemoimmunotherapy (VICER) for treatment of relapsed diffuse large B cell lymphoma: results from the phase 1 study. Blood. 2018 Nov 29;132:397.

51. Takaoka K, Nannya Y, Shinohara A, Arai S, Nakamura F, Kurokawa M. A novel scoring system to predict the incidence of invasive fungal disease in salvage chemotherapies for malignant lymphoma. Annals of Hematology. 2014 Oct;93:1637-44.

52. Bock AM, Nowakowski GS, Wang Y. Bispecific antibodies for non-Hodgkin lymphoma treatment. Current treatment options in oncology. 2022 Feb;23(2):155-70.

53. Longhitano AP, Slavin MA, Harrison SJ, Teh BW. Bispecific antibody therapy, its use and risks for infection: Bridging the knowledge gap. Blood reviews. 2021 Sep 1;49:100810.

54. Raje N, Anderson K, Einsele H, Efebera Y, Gay F, Hammond SP, et al. Monitoring, prophylaxis, and treatment of infections in patients with MM receiving bispecific antibody therapy: consensus recommendations from an expert panel. Blood Cancer Journal. 2023 Aug 1;13(1):116.

55. Sim BZ, Longhitano A, Er J, Harrison SJ, Slavin MA, Teh BW. Infectious complications of bispecific antibody therapy in patients with multiple myeloma. Blood Cancer Journal. 2023 Mar 10;13(1):34.

56. Mohan M, Chakraborty R, Bal S, Nellore A, Baljevic M, D'Souza A, et al. Recommendations on prevention of infections during chimeric antigen receptor T-cell and bispecific antibody therapy in multiple myeloma. British Journal of Haematology. 2023 Jun 7.

57. De Jonge ME, Huitema AD, Rodenhuis S, Beijnen JH. Clinical pharmacokinetics of cyclophosphamide. Clinical Pharmacokinetics. 2005 Nov;44(11):1135-64.

58. Speth PA, Van Hoesel QG, Haanen C. Clinical pharmacokinetics of doxorubicin. Clinical pharmacokinetics. 1988 Jul;15:15-31.

59. Mckay Ll, Cidlowski JA. Corticosteroids in the treatment of neoplasms. Cancer Medicine. 2003;6.

60. Salerni BL, Bates DJ, Albershardt TC, Lowrey CH, Eastman A. Vinblastine induces acute, cell cycle phase–independent apoptosis in some leukemias and lymphomas and can induce acute apoptosis in others when Mcl-1 is suppressed. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics. 2010 Apr 1;9(4):791-802.

61. Fluoroquinolones NI. In LiverTox: Clinical and Research Information on Drug-Induced Liver Injury. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases: Bethesda, MD, USA. 2020.

62. Brandt J, Gerriets V. Bleomycin. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. 2023.

63. Guo L, Zhang H, Chen B. Nivolumab as programmed death-1 (PD-1) inhibitor for targeted immunotherapy in tumor. Journal of Cancer. 2017;8(3):410.

64. Koźmiński P, Halik PK, Chesori R, Gniazdowska E. Overview of dual-acting drug ethotrexate in different neurological diseases, autoimmune pathologies and cancers. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2020 May 14;21(10):3483.

65. Awosika A, Below J, Das JM. Vincristine. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. 2023.

66. Faruqi A, Tadi P. Cytarabine. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. 2023.

67. Gangireddy M, Nookala V. Ifosfamide. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. 2023.

68. Carboplatin. In LiverTox: Clinical and Research Information on Drug-Induced Liver Injury. Bethesda (MD): National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2012.

69. Reyhanoglu G, Tadi P. Etoposide. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. 2023.

70. Freeman CL, Kridel R, Moccia AA, Savage KJ, Villa DR, Scott DW, et al. Early progression after bendamustine-rituximab is associated with high risk of transformation in advanced stage follicular lymphoma. Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology. 2019 Aug 29;134(9):761-4.

71. Mohty R, Dulery R, Bazarbachi AH, Savani M, Hamed RA, Bazarbachi A, et al. Latest advances in the management of classical Hodgkin lymphoma: The era of novel therapies. Blood Cancer Journal. 2021 Jul 9;11(7):126.

72. Villanueva G, Guscott M, Schaiquevich P, Sampor C, Combs R, Tentoni N, et al. A Systematic Review of High-Dose Methotrexate for Adults with Primary Central Nervous System Lymphoma. Cancers. 2023 Feb 25;15(5):1459.

73. Steiner RE, Romaguera J, Wang M. Current trials for frontline therapy of mantle cell lymphoma. Journal of Hematology & Oncology. 2018 Dec;11(1):1-3.

74. Kewalramani T, Zelenetz AD, Nimer SD, Portlock C, Straus D, Noy A, et al. Rituximab and ICE as second-line therapy before autologous stem cell transplantation for relapsed or primary refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Blood. 2004 May 15;103(10):3684-8.

75. Salvaris R, Ong J, Gregory GP. Bispecific antibodies: a review of development, clinical efficacy and toxicity in B-cell lymphomas. Journal of Personalized Medicine. 2021 Apr 29;11(5):355.